arXiv:1603.07696v1 [math.AG] 24 Mar 2016

Cartier crystals and perverse constructible étale p-torsion sheaves

Tobias Schedlmeier

Abstract

For an F-finite scheme X separated over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ which admits an embedding into a smooth k-scheme, we establish an equivalence between the bounded derived categories of Cartier crystals on X and constructible $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaves on the étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$. The key intermediate step is to extend the category of locally finitely generated unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules for smooth schemes introduced by Emerton and Kisin to embeddable schemes. On the one hand, this category is equivalent to Cartier crystals. On the other hand, by using Emerton-Kisin's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, we show that it is equivalent to Gabber's category of perverse sheaves in $D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

Introduction

In [EK04] Emerton and Kisin establish an analog of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for smooth varieties over a field k of positive characteristic p. For X a smooth scheme over k they prove an anti-equivalence

$$D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \xrightarrow{\text{Sol}} D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

between the bounded derived categories of *locally finitely generated unit (lfgu for short) $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules* on the one hand, and the bounded derived category of constructible $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaves on the étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$ of X on the other hand. The objects of the former category are, roughly speaking, quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with a left action of the Frobenius ($\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules) satisfying the conditions that the adjoint of the Frobenius action $\vartheta\colon F^*M \longrightarrow M$ is an isomorphism (unit) and that M is locally finitely generated over the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$. Their correspondence is shown to be compatible with half of the six cohomological operations, namely $f^!$, f_+ and $\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}$. They also prove that under the

[0] **Address:** Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, 55128 Mainz
[0] **Email:** sched001@uni-mainz.de
[0] *2010 Mathematics subject classification.* Primary: 14G17; Secondary: 13A35

correspondence the abelian category $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ of locally finitely generated unit modules corresponds to the category of perverse sheaves $\mathrm{Perv}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ defined by Gabber in [Gab04] on $D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

In [BB11] Blickle and Böckle show that if X is smooth and F-finite (i.e. the Frobenius morphism is a finite map) then Emerton-Kisin's category $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ is equivalent to their category of Cartier crystals on X. This category is obtained by localizing the category of all coherent sheaves on X equipped with a *right* action by Frobenius, i.e. a map $F_*M \longrightarrow M$, at the Serre subcategory consisting of those M where the structural map is nilpotent.

The category of Cartier crystals is also defined on singular schemes, and a Kashiwara-type equivalence holds in this context [BB13, Theorem 4.1.2], showing that Cartier crystals on a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ are "the same" as Cartier crystals on X supported in Z. This suggests that for singular schemes the category of Cartier crystals should be a reasonable replacement for Emerton-Kisin's theory, which was only developed for X smooth. Hence one expects a natural equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

for any F-finite scheme X. In this paper we show this result under the assumption that X is embeddable into a smooth scheme. The embedding of X as a closed subscheme of a smooth scheme Y enables us to employ the Kashiwara equivalence to show that the category of Cartier crystals on X is equivalent to the category of lfgu modules on Y supported in X. This equivalence on the level of abelian categories then extends to a derived equivalence

$$D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \cong D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_Y.$$

The details of this equivalence are worked out in Section 2 and involve showing that the equivalence sketched by Blickle and Böckle between Cartier crystals and $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ alluded to above is compatible with pull-back and push-forward functors for immersions.

In Section 4 we give an intrinsic proof of the fact that the category $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_Y$ is independent of the embedding of X into a smooth scheme. This is somewhat technical as it requires us to extend the adjunction between the functors $f^!$ and f_+ for proper f from Emerton-Kisin to the case that f is proper over some closed subset. The source of this is a general adjunction statement for quasi-coherent sheaves which we show in section 3, and which we believe to be of independent interest:

Theorem. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a separated and finite type morphism of k-schemes and let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ be closed immersions with a proper morphism $f'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that $f \circ i' = i \circ f'$. Then there is a natural transformation $\mathrm{tr}_f\colon Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ such that for all $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^-_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_X)_{Z'}$ and $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)_Z$ the composition*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f} \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. Here the first arrow is the natural map. In particular, taking global sections, the functor Rf_* is left adjoint to the functor $R\Gamma_{Z'} f^!$.

Combining these steps the following theorem summarizes the main results in this paper:

Theorem. *Let X be an F-finite scheme embeddable into a smooth scheme Y. Then there are natural equivalences of categories*

$$D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

In particular, the middle category is independent of the embedding. The equivalences are compatible with the respectively defined push-forward and pull-back functors for immersions. Furthermore, the standard t-structure on the left corresponds to Gabber's perverse t-structure on the right.

While in the final stages of writing up these results the preprint [Ohk16] appeared. Therein the author shows that Emerton-Kisin's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence can be extended to the case that X is embeddable into a proper smooth W_n-scheme. The case $n = 1$ hence also implies the right half of the just stated theorem in the case that X is embeddable into a proper smooth scheme.

Acknowledgments

The results in this paper are part of the authors PhD thesis. I cordially thank my supervisor, Manuel Blickle, for his excellent guidance and various inspiring conversations. I also thank Kay Rülling, who explained to us how the trace map of section 3 could be constructed. Moreover, I thank Axel Stäbler and Sachio Ohkawa for a careful reading of an earlier draft and for many useful comments. The author was partially supported by SFB / Transregio 45 Bonn-Essen-Mainz financed by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Notation and conventions

Unless otherwise stated, all schemes X are separated, locally noetherian schemes over a field k containing the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ for a fixed prime $p > 0$. We let F_X or F, if no ambiguity is possible, denote the Frobenius endomorphism $X \longrightarrow X$ which is the identity on the underlying topological space and which is given by $r \mapsto r^p$ on local sections. Often we will assume that our scheme is F-finite, i.e. that F is a finite morphism. By [Gab04, Remark 13.6], the F-finiteness implies that X has a normalized dualizing complex $\omega_X^\bullet$ which we assume to be equipped with an isomorphism $\kappa_X \colon \omega_X^\bullet \longrightarrow F^! \omega_X^\bullet$. This is a mild assumption which is expected to hold for any noetherian F-finite scheme. If $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is an essentially finite type morphism we set $\omega_X^\bullet := f^! \omega_Y^\bullet$.

As in [EK04] we let d_X denote the function

$$x \mapsto \text{dimension of the component of } X \text{ containing } x$$

for a smooth k-scheme X. If $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a morphism of smooth k-schemes, the relative dimension $d_{X/Y}$ is given by $d_{X/Y} = d_X - d_Y \circ f$.

1 Review of Cartier crystals and locally finitely generated unit $\mathcal{O}_X[F]$-modules

We begin by reviewing the definitions and results from the theory of Cartier crystals as developed by Blickle and Böckle in [BB11] and [BB13]. In short, a coherent Cartier module M on X is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module together with a *right action* of the Frobenius F. These form an abelian category and the category of Cartier crystals is obtained by localizing at the full Serre subcategory of those M on which F acts nilpotently. The resulting localized category is an abelian category which has been shown in [BB11] to enjoy strong finiteness properties: All objects have finite length and all endomorphism sets are finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces.

1.1 Cartier modules and Cartier crystals

Definition 1.1. A Cartier module on X is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module M together with a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\kappa\colon F_*M \longrightarrow M.$$

Equivalently, a Cartier module M is a sheaf of right $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules whose underlying sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is quasi-coherent. Here $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$ is the sheaf of (non-commutative) rings $\mathcal{O}_X[F] = \mathcal{O}_{F,X}$, defined affine locally on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ as the ring

$$R[F] := R\{F\}/\langle Fr - r^pF \mid r \in R\rangle,$$

On the level of abelian sheaves, M and F_*M are equal, hence we may view the structural map κ of a Cartier module M as an additive map $\kappa\colon M \longrightarrow M$ which satisfies $\kappa(r^p \cdot m) = r\kappa(m)$ for all local sections $r \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $m \in M$. In this way it is clear that defining the right action of F on M via κ defines a right action of $\mathcal{O}_X[F]$ on M, and vice versa. Iterations of κ are defined inductively: $\kappa^n := \kappa \circ F_*\kappa^{n-1}$. Considering κ as an additive map of abelian sheaves, κ^n is the usual n-th iteration.

For a finite morphism $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of schemes, the functor f_* is left adjoint to the functor $f^\flat := \overline{f}^*\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X, __)$, where $\overline{f}$ is the flat morphism of ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow (Y, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$, cf. [Har66, III. 6]. Hence the structural morphism of a Cartier module M on an F-finite scheme may also be given in the form $\tilde{\kappa}\colon M \longrightarrow F^\flat M$.

Example 1.2. The prototypical example of a Cartier module is the sheaf ω_X of top differential forms on a smooth variety over a perfect field. If $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ then ω_X is the free $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$-module of rank 1 generated by $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$. This module has a natural homomorphism $\kappa\colon F_*\omega_X \longrightarrow \omega_X$ called the *Cartier operator* given by the formula

$$x_1^{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_n^{i_n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \mapsto x_1^{\frac{(i_1+1)}{p}-1} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_n^{\frac{(i_n+1)}{p}-1} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

where a non-integral exponent anywhere renders the whole expression zero.

A morphism $\varphi\colon M \longrightarrow N$ of Cartier modules is a morphism of the underlying quasi-coherent sheaves making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_*M & \xrightarrow{F_*\varphi} & F_*N \\ {\scriptstyle \kappa_M}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa_N} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N. \end{array}$$

As F_* is exact, one immediately verifies that *Cartier modules form an abelian category*, the kernels and cokernels being just the underlying kernels and cokernels in $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We denote the category of Cartier modules on X by $\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$. The full subcategory of *coherent Cartier modules* $\mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X)$ consists of those Cartier modules whose underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is coherent. A Cartier module (M,κ) is called *nilpotent* if some power of κ is zero; (M,κ) is called *locally nilpotent* if it is the union of its nilpotent Cartier submodules. By $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$ we denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ consisting of locally nilpotent Cartier modules, and $\mathrm{Nil}_\kappa(X)$ denotes the intersection $\mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X) \cap \mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$. The full subcategory of $\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ consisting of extensions of coherent and locally nilpotent Cartier modules (in either order) we denote by $\mathrm{LNilCoh}_\kappa(X)$. One has the following inclusions

$$\mathrm{Nil}_\kappa(X) \begin{array}{c}\hookrightarrow \\ \hookrightarrow\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X) \\ \mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\hookrightarrow \\ \hookrightarrow\end{array} \mathrm{LNilCoh}_\kappa(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X),$$

and each of the full subcategories are Serre subcategories in their ambient category[1]. This leads us to our key construction.

Definition 1.3. The category of *Cartier quasi-crystals* is the localization of the category of quasi-coherent Cartier modules $\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$ at its Serre subcategory $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$. It is an abelian category, which we denote by $\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$.

Similarly, the category of *Cartier crystals* on X is the localization of the category $\mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X)$ of coherent Cartier modules at its Serre subcategory $\mathrm{Nil}_\kappa(X)$. It is an abelian category which we denote by $\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X)$. Cartier crystals also can be obtained by localizing $\mathrm{LNilCoh}_\kappa(X)$ at the subcategory $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$.

In order to define derived functors we have to make sure that the considered categories have enough injectives.

Proposition 1.4. *The category* $\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ *is a Grothendieck category with enough injectives whose underlying* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-module is injective. Its Serre subcategory* $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$ *is localizing and hence* $\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$ *has enough injectives.*

Proof. The first statements were shown in [BB13, Theorem 2.0.7 and Proposition 3.3.16]. That $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$ is localizing now follows from Corollaire 1 on p. 375 of [Gab62] and from the fact that each $M \in \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ has a maximal locally nilpotent κ-subsheaf M_{nil}, cf. [BB13, Lemma 2.1.3]. Then Corollaire 2 of [Gab62] shows that the associated quotient category $\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$ has enough injectives.

[1] A Serre subcategory is a full abelian subcategory which is closed under extensions.

Concretely, if $T\colon \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$ denotes the exact localization functor, then the fact that $\mathrm{LNil}_\kappa(X)$ is localizing asserts the existence of a right adjoint $V\colon \mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$. If $M/M_{nil} \hookrightarrow I$ is an injective hull in $\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ then it is shown in op. cit. that TI is an injective hull of $T(M/M_{nil})$. □

The following finiteness statement is the main result of [BB11, Corollary 4.7, Theorem 4.17]:

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a noetherian F-finite scheme of positive characteristic p.*

(a) Every object in the category of Cartier crystals $\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X)$ *satisfies the ascending* and descending *chain condition on its subobjects.*

(b) The Hom*-sets in* $\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X)$ *are finite dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces.*

These finiteness properties are precisely the ones one expects from a category of perverse constructible sheaves in the topological context. It is this result (and the related statement [EK04, Theorem 11.5.4] in the smooth case) that prompted our investigation of a connection between Cartier crystals and Gabber's category of perverse constructible sheaves of $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector spaces on $X_{\text{ét}}$ which is the content of this article.

1.2 Cartier crystals and morphisms of schemes

Up to now, we studied different categories stemming from quasi-coherent sheaves on a single scheme X. In this subsection we consider morphisms $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of schemes and construct functors between the categories of Cartier (quasi-)crystals on X and on Y.

The first result is concerned with the derived functor Rf_* for quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. For a large class of morphisms it behaves well with the additional structure of Cartier modules and with localization at nilpotent objects. In principle, we assert to a quasi-coherent Cartier module M with structural map κ_M the quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module f_*M together with the composition

$$F_{Y*}f_*M \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*F_{X*}M \xrightarrow{f_*\kappa_M} f_*M.$$

This is the underived functor $f_*\colon \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(Y)$.

Theorem 1.6 ([BB13, Corollary 3.2.12])**.** *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of F-finite schemes. The functor Rf_* on quasi-coherent sheaves induces a functor*

$$Rf_*\colon D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b(\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(Y)).$$

It preserves local nilpotence and hence induces a functor

$$Rf_*\colon D^b(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)).$$

If f is of finite type (but not necessarily proper!) then it restricts to a functor

$$Rf_*\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))$$

where the subscript crys *indicates that the cohomology lies in* $\mathrm{LNilCrys}_\kappa$.

For essentially étale morphisms and for closed immersions there are pull-back functors.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes.*

(a) If f is essentially étale, the exact functor f^* induces a functor

$$f^!\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)),$$

which is left adjoint to Rf_*.

(b) If f is a closed immersion of F-finite schemes, the functor $f^\flat$ induces a functor

$$f^!\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)),$$

which is right adjoint to Rf_*.

Proof. Let M be a quasi-coherent Cartier module on Y. For essentially étale f there is a canonical isomorphism $\varepsilon_f\colon F_{X*}f^* \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*F_{Y*}$. Hence for a quasi-coherent Cartier module M on Y with structural map κ_M we may equip f^*M with the structural morphism given by the composition

$$F_{X*}f^*M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_f} f^*F_{Y*}M \xrightarrow{f^*\kappa_M} f^*M.$$

As f^* preserves coherence we obtain a functor $\mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X)$. It is easy to see that f^* preserves nil-potency. Therefore, and by exactness of f^*, we obtain the desired functor $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))$.

In the case of a closed immersion f the composition

$$f^\flat M \xrightarrow{f^\flat\tilde{\kappa}} f^\flat F^\flat_Y M \xrightarrow{\sim} F^\flat_X f^\flat M,$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the adjoint of κ, is a natural Cartier structure for the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $f^\flat M$. Once again it remains to check that it gives rise to a functor $f^! D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))$. The adjunctions of Rf_* and f^* or $f^!$ follow from the corresponding adjunctions for quasi-coherent sheaves. For more details see [BB13, Corollary 3.3.23] or Proposition 3.3.18 of [BB13]. □

Now let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion and $j\colon U \longrightarrow X$ the open immersion of the complement $X\backslash Z$.

Definition 1.8. A complex $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ of $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))$ is *supported on Z* if $j^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet = 0$ or, equivalently, if the natural morphism $i_*i^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\bullet$ is an isomorphism. We let $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))_Z$ denote the full triangulated subcategory consisting of complexes supported in Z.

Note that for a closed immersion i the functor i_* is exact and therefore we drop the R indicating derived functors. The units and counits of the adjunctions between i_* and $i^!$ and between Rj_* and j^* lead to a familiar distinguished triangle.

Theorem 1.9 ([BB13, Theorem 4.1.1]). *In $D^+_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))$ there is a distinguished triangle*

$$i_*i^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow Rj_*j^*.$$

For Cartier crystals, there is a natural isomorphism of functors $i_*i^! \cong R\Gamma_Z$ where $R\Gamma_Z$ is the local cohomology functor. This isomorphism identifies the distinguished triangle of Theorem 1.9 with the fundamental triangle of local cohomology. The following theorem is a formal consequence of Theorem 1.9:

Theorem 1.10 ([BB13, Theorem 4.1.2]). *Let $i\colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion. The functors i_* and $i^!$ are a pair of inverse equivalences*

$$D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Z))) \underset{i^!}{\overset{i_*}{\rightleftarrows}} D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))_Z\,.$$

We call this equivalence the *Kashiwara equivalence*. If Z is a singular scheme which is embeddable into a smooth scheme, the Kashiwara equivalence enables us to work with $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}(X))$ instead of $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}(Z))$.

1.3 Review of locally finitely generated unit modules

In [EK04] Emerton and Kisin consider left $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules M with a structural morphism $F^*M \longrightarrow M$. Instead of localizing, they pass to a certain subcategory. If we speak of $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules we mean *left* $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules.

Definition 1.11. A quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module is an $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module whose underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is quasi-coherent. If the structural morphism $F^*\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism then $\mathcal{M}$ is called *unit*. We let $\mu(X)$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X)$ denote the abelian categories of quasi-coherent and quasi-coherent unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules.

The term "locally finitely generated" for an $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module M means that M is locally finitely generated as a left $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module. Emerton and Kisin's focus is on locally finitely generated unit modules, lfgu for short, on smooth schemes, where they form an abelian category $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$. We let $D_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ denote the derived category of complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules whose cohomology sheaves are lfgu.

Proposition 1.12. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes. The functor $f^!\colon D(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ defined by*

$$f^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet = \mathcal{O}_{F,X\to Y} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}^\bullet[d_{X/Y}]$$

restricts to a functor

$$f^!\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}).$$

Here $\mathcal{O}_{F,X\to Y}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$ with the natural $(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule structure.

Proof. This is Lemma 2.3.2 and Proposition 6.7 of [EK04]. □

The construction of the push-forward is more involved. Emerton and Kisin first show that $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y\leftarrow X} = f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_{X/Y}$ is naturally an $(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,X})$-bimodule. Then the functor $f_+ \colon D(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ is defined by

$$f_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet = Rf_*(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y\leftarrow X} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}} \mathcal{M}^\bullet).$$

Proposition 1.13. *The functor $f_+ \colon D(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ restricts to a functor*

$$f_+ \colon D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}).$$

Proof. This is Theorem 3.5.3 and Proposition 6.8.2 of [EK04]. □

Depending on f, there are adjunction relations between $f^!$ and f_+. If f is a closed immersion, a Kashiwara-type equivalence for unit modules holds.

Lemma 1.14. *[EK04, Lemma 4.3.1.] If f is an open immersion of smooth k-schemes, there is a natural isomorphism in $D^+(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$:*

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet, f_+\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(f^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet, \mathcal{N}^\bullet).$$

Theorem 1.15. *[EK04, Theorem 4.4.1] Let f be a proper morphism of smooth k-schemes. For every $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ in $D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ and every $\mathcal{N}^\bullet$ in $D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ there is a natural isomorphism in $D^+(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$:*

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet, \mathcal{N}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet, f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet).$$

It is this adjunction that we want to extend to morphisms which are only proper over the support of the considered complexes. This will be done in section 4.

Theorem 1.16. *[EK04, Theorem 5.10.1] If $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion of smooth k-schemes, then the adjunction of Theorem 1.15 provides an equivalence between the category of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules and the category of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules supported on X. The fact that the natural map $f_+f^!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism implies that $H^0(f^!)\mathcal{M} \cong f^!\mathcal{M}$.*

2 From Cartier crystals to locally finitely generated unit $\mathcal{O}_X[F]$-modules

In this section we assume that X is a smooth F-finite k-scheme.

2.1 The equivalence between Cartier modules and γ-sheaves

In order to construct an equivalence between Cartier crystals and locally finitely generated unit modules, one uses an equivalence between Cartier modules and so-called γ-sheaves. It will induce an equivalence between Cartier crystals and γ-crystals. The latter in turn are known to be equivalent to lfgu modules.

Definition 2.1. A γ-sheaf on a scheme X is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module N together with a morphism $\gamma_N \colon N \longrightarrow F^*N$.

The theory of γ-sheaves is very similar to that of Cartier modules. With the obvious morphisms γ-sheaves form an abelian category with the nilpotent γ-sheaves being a Serre subcategory. We obtain γ-crystals in the same way as we obtained Cartier crystals and so forth. In this section we revisit the connection between Cartier modules and γ-sheaves as explained in section 5.2.1 of [BB11] and give some details of the proof.

For a smooth scheme X, the sheaf of top differential forms ω_X is an invertible sheaf with a canonical Cartier structure given by the Cartier operator, cf. Example 1.2 for the affine space. One can check that its adjoint is an isomorphism.

Definition 2.2. Let κ_X, or κ_R if $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine, denote the natural isomorphism $\omega_X \xrightarrow{\sim} F^\flat \omega_X$ which is the adjoint of the Cartier operator.

If we speak of the γ-sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ we mean the structure sheaf of X together with the natural isomorphism $\gamma_X \colon \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow F^*\mathcal{O}_X$. By abuse of notation we call this isomorphism the *Frobenius*.

The next lemma makes explicit a fundamental isomorphism, which will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 2.3. *[BB11, Lemma 5.7] Let $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a finite and flat morphism of schemes. For every quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module $\mathcal{F}$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{can} \colon f^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^*\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} f^\flat \mathcal{G}.$$

Proof. It suffices to construct a natural isomorphism locally and therefore we can identify f with a ring homomorphism $R \longrightarrow S$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with an R-module M. Define the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{can} \colon \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, R) \otimes_S (M \otimes_R S) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, M)$$

of S-modules by mapping $\alpha \otimes (m \otimes t)$ to the homomorphism $s \mapsto \alpha(st)m$. Since f is flat, we can assume that S is a free R-module and choose a basis $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n$ be the dual basis, i.e. $\varphi_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, R)$ and $\varphi_i(j) = \delta_{ij}$. One easily checks that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, M) &\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(S, R) \otimes_S (M \otimes_R S) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_i \otimes \varphi(s_i). \end{aligned}$$

is inverse to can. ∎

The following definition is extracted from [BB11, Theorem 5.9].

Definition 2.4. Let X be a smooth k-scheme.

(a) For every Cartier module M with structural morphism κ, the sheaf $M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ has a natural γ-structure given by the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa_M \otimes \kappa_X} & & \\ F^\flat M \otimes (F^\flat \omega_X)^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\text{can}^{-1} \otimes \tilde{\text{can}}} & F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^* M \otimes (F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^* \omega_X^{-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) & \xleftarrow[\text{ev}]{} & F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes (F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^* M \otimes F^* \omega_X^{-1} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the right is the permutation and $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{L}} \colon \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ is the evaluation map $l \otimes \varphi \mapsto \varphi(l)$. This morphism is called the γ-structure of $M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ induced by κ_M.

(b) For every γ-module N with structural morphism γ_N, the sheaf $N \otimes \omega_X$ has a natural Cartier structure given by the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes \omega_X & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_N \otimes \kappa_X} & & \\ F^* N \otimes F^\flat \omega_X & \xrightarrow{id \otimes \text{can}^{-1}} & F^* N \otimes F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^* \omega_X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ F^\flat(N \otimes \omega_X) & \xleftarrow[\text{can}]{} & F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^* N \otimes F^* \omega_X \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the right is the permutation. This morphism is called the Cartier structure of $N \otimes \omega_X$ induced by γ_N.

Remark 2.5. Thanks to the fact that the proof of Lemma 2.3 contains explicit formulas for the isomorphism can and its inverse we can concretely describe the induced Cartier structure of $N \otimes \omega_R$ for a γ-module N over a regular ring R such that $F_* R$ is free with basis $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r$. For $m \in \omega_R$ set $\varphi_m := \kappa_R(m)$ and let $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r \in \text{Hom}_R(F_* R, R)$ be the dual basis of $s_1, \ldots, s_r$, this means $\varphi_i(s_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Following the arrows of Definition 2.4 we see that the Cartier structure $N \otimes \omega_X \longrightarrow F^\flat(N \otimes \omega_X)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n \otimes m &\mapsto \gamma(n) \otimes \varphi_m \\ &\mapsto \sum_i \gamma(n) \otimes \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_m(s_i) \\ &\mapsto (s \mapsto \sum_i \gamma(n) \otimes \varphi_i(s) \otimes \varphi_m(s_i)). \end{aligned}$$

We will need this concrete version later on to prove that for affine schemes assigning a Cartier module to a γ-sheaf commutes with certain pullbacks, see Lemma 2.15. The use of the isomorphism $F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes (F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ involves the concrete formula for the structural morphism of the γ-sheaf associated to a Cartier module.

For the proof of Proposition 2.9, we need the isomorphism $\omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ of γ-sheaves which is a consequence of the following general lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module.*

(a) If $\rho\colon \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_2$ is an isomorphism with invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{L}^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho\otimes\tilde{\rho}} & \mathcal{L}_1\otimes\mathcal{L}_2\otimes\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}\otimes\mathcal{L}_2^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{L}_1}} & \mathcal{L}_2\otimes\mathcal{L}_2^{-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{L}}} & & & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{L}_2}} & \\ \mathcal{O}_X, & & & & \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the isomorphism induced by ρ, is commutative.

(b) The diagram of canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*(\mathcal{L}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{L}^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & f^*\mathcal{L}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^*\mathcal{L})^{-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^*\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation. □

Example 2.7. The γ-sheaf $\omega := \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ is canonically isomorphic to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ equipped with the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow F^*\mathcal{O}_X$. We have to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{\omega_X}^{-1}} & \omega_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1} \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\mathrm{can}^{-1}\circ\kappa_X)\otimes(\mathrm{can}^{-1}\circ\tilde{\kappa_X})} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X\otimes F^*\omega_X\otimes(F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1}\otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \\ & & \sim\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_{F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X}\otimes\mathrm{id}} \\ \mathcal{O}_X & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{ev}_{F^*\omega_X}} & F^*\omega_X\otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_X} & & \sim\downarrow \\ F^*\mathcal{O}_X & \xleftarrow[\sim]{F^*\,\mathrm{ev}_{\omega_X}} & F^*(\omega_X\otimes\omega_X^{-1}) \end{array}$$

commutes. The commutativity of both the top and the bottom rectangle follows from Lemma 2.6. By definition, the γ-structure of $\omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ is given by the composition of the vertical arrows on the right. Hence the γ-structure of $\mathcal{O}_X$ with respect to the natural isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{\omega_X}^{-1}} \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ is the Frobenius.

Similarly, starting with the γ-module $\mathcal{O}_X$, the induced Cartier structure of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \omega_X$ is compatible with the canonical Cartier structure of ω_X with respect to the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \omega_X \cong \omega_X$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathrm{QCoh}_\gamma(X)$ ($\mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X)$) denote the categories of γ-sheaves whose underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is quasi-coherent (coherent). The categories of γ-quasi-crystals (γ-crystals) is denoted by $\mathrm{QCrys}_\gamma(X)$ ($\mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X)$).

Proposition 2.9. *If X is a smooth scheme with a dualizing sheaf ω_X, then tensoring with the dualizing sheaf and its inverse induces inverse equivalences of categories between Cartier modules and γ-sheaves on X:*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X) \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{QCoh}_\gamma(X)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X) \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X).$$

In terms of this equivalence the Cartier module (ω_X, κ_X) correspond to the γ-sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_X, \gamma_X)$.

Proof. We start with a Cartier module (M, κ_M) and denote by γ_ω the γ-structure of $\omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X$. (Note that there is no considerable difference between $\omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ and $\omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X$.) Consider the diagram (2). Passing through the top arrow we follow the construction of the structural morphism of $M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X$ while the structural morphism of κ_M is given by the composition of the horizontal arrows on the bottom: $\kappa_M = \mathrm{can} \circ (\mathrm{can}^{-1} \circ \kappa_M)$. Hence we have to show that (2) is commutative. For simplicity we will not distinguish between $F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X)$ and $F^*M \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes F^*\omega_X$. Consider the upper square. The map through the top arrow is given by

$$a \otimes m \otimes \beta \otimes \sigma \otimes b \otimes t \mapsto \beta(a) \cdot (b \otimes m \otimes \sigma \otimes t)$$

and $\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu$ is the map

$$a \otimes m \otimes \beta \otimes \sigma \otimes b \otimes t \mapsto \beta(b) \cdot (a \otimes m \otimes \sigma \otimes t).$$

Because

$$\beta(a) \cdot b = \beta(ab) = \beta(b) \cdot a,$$

these two maps are the same. In the lower left square the map from $M \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ to $F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X)$ is the composition

$$M \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}^{-1} \circ \kappa_M} F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \gamma_\omega} F^\flat \mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X).$$

Hence it suffices to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F^*M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta} & F^*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^*M\otimes\gamma_\omega} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes F} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ F^*M \otimes F^*(\omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{id}\otimes F^*\delta} & F^*M \otimes F^*\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*(M \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is commutative. The commutativity of the left square is Example 2.7 tensored with F^*M. That the right square commutes can easily be checked by hand: For an arbitrary ring R, an R-algebra S and an R-module M, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M \otimes_R S) \otimes_S S & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M \otimes_R S) \otimes_S (R \otimes_R S) & \longrightarrow & (M \otimes_R R) \otimes_R S \end{array}$$

of natural homomorphisms is commutative. Along both ways an element $(m \otimes s_1) \otimes s_2$ is mapped to $(m \otimes 1) \otimes s_1 s_2$. Locally the right square of (1) is just a special case of this diagram.

Now let (N, γ_N) be a γ-sheaf. By definition, the structural morphism γ'_N of $N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ is the line in the middle of the diagram (3). The upper squares of this diagram, the horizontal morphism to the top right corner being given by $\mathrm{id} \otimes (\mathrm{can}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}_X)$, commute by construction. Therefore we see that γ'_N is the tensor product of γ_N and γ_ω, i.e. the bottom rectangle of (3) is commutative.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} N \otimes \omega & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\gamma_\omega} & N \otimes F^*\omega & \xrightarrow{\gamma_N\otimes\mathrm{id}} & F^*N \otimes F^*\omega & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F * (N \otimes \omega) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\delta} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes F^*\delta} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes F^*\delta} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta)} \\ N \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\gamma_X} & N \otimes F^*\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\gamma_N\otimes\mathrm{id}} & F^*N \otimes F^*\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*(N \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \sim\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\gamma_X} & & \sim\uparrow \\ N & & \xrightarrow{\gamma_N} & & F^*N \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*N. \end{array}$$

The upper left square is the commutative diagram of Example 2.7 tensored with N. The upper square in the middle and the bottom left rectangle are clearly commutative. The square to the left of it commutes because of the naturality of the isomorphism $F^*N \otimes F^*(_) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^*(N \otimes _)$. We already have seen that the bottom right square commutes: It is the same square as the left one of diagram (1) with M replaced by N. Moreover, the composition of the leftmost arrow is $N \otimes \delta$ and the composition of the rightmost arrow is $F^*(N \otimes \delta)$.

Hence the structural morphism of N is compatible with $\gamma_N \otimes \gamma_\omega$, which turned out to be compatible with the structural morphism induced from the Cartier module $N \otimes \omega_X$.

Thus we can extract the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma'_N} & F^*(N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\delta}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^*(\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta)} \\ N & \xrightarrow{\gamma_N} & F^*N. \end{array}$$

It follows that the functors $_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ and $_ \otimes \omega_X$ are inverse equivalences. From Example 2.7 we know that $_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ maps ω_X with the structural morphism κ_X to $\mathcal{O}_X$ with the structural morphism γ_X. Consequently $_ \otimes \omega_X$ maps the γ-sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_X, \gamma_X)$ to the Cartier module (ω_X, κ_X). □

Corollary 2.10. *Tensoring with ω_X and with ω_X^{-1} induces equivalences of categories*

$$\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X) \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{QCrys}_\gamma(X)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X) \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X).$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes (F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^*M \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*\omega_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes \mathrm{id}} & F^*M \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*\omega_X & & & & \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & & & \\
F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*M \otimes (F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*\omega_X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \gamma_\omega} & F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} & F^\flat(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X) & & \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{can}^{-1}(\kappa_M \otimes \tilde{\kappa}_R \otimes \kappa_R)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat\varphi} & & \\
M \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X & & & & & & \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \otimes \delta}{\scriptstyle \sim} & & & & & & \\
M & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}^{-1} \circ \kappa_M} & F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*M & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} & F^\flat M & &
\end{array}
\tag{2}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
F^*N \otimes F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*\omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*N \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \longrightarrow & F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F^*N \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \otimes (F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X)^{-1} \otimes F^*\omega_X^{-1} \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_N \otimes (\mathrm{can}^{-1} \circ \kappa_X) \otimes \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{can}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_{F^\flat\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes \mathrm{id}} \\
N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{N \otimes \omega_X}} & F^\flat(N \otimes \omega_X) \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \longrightarrow & F^*(N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \\
\| & & & & \| \\
N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_N \otimes \gamma_\omega} & F^*N \otimes F^*(\omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*(N \otimes \omega_X \otimes \omega_X^{-1})
\end{array}
\tag{3}
$$

2.2 Compatibility with morphisms of schemes

We show that the equivalence just proven is compatible with open and closed immersions of smooth schemes. The pull-back of quasi-coherent sheaves defines a functor on γ-sheaves:

Definition 2.11. Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth schemes and N a γ-sheaf on Y with structural morphism γ_N. The natural γ-structure for f^*N is the composition

$$f^*N \xrightarrow{f^*\gamma_N} f^*F_Y^*N \xrightarrow{\sim} F_Y^*f^*N.$$

First we consider a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of smooth k-schemes. The aim is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.12. *Let $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of smooth schemes with codimension n. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of functors*

$$_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1} \circ R^n i^\flat \cong i^* \circ _ \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}$$

inducing a corresponding isomorphism of functors of crystals, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(Y) & \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\omega_Y}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\omega_Y^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(Y) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle R^n i^\flat} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*} \\ \mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X) & \underset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We begin with the affine case. Noting that any closed immersion of smooth schemes is a local complete intersection morphisms, it suffices to consider the case of a complete intersection, where the pull-back of a Cartier module can be computed by using the Koszul complex.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\underline{f} = f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$ be a regular sequence of elements of a ring R generating an ideal I. Then for every Cartier module M with structural map κ, there is an isomorphism*

$$\varphi_{\underline{f}}\colon \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(R/I, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M/IM$$

where M/IM is viewed as an R/I-module with the Cartier structure

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{M/IM}\colon F_*M/IM &\longrightarrow M/IM \\ m + IM &\mapsto \kappa_M((f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdots f_n)^{p-1}m) + IM. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition we have to compute $\mathrm{RHom}_R(R/I, M)$. The structural morphism $\kappa_{i^\flat M}\colon F_*i^\flat M \longrightarrow i^\flat M$ equals the composition

$$F_*\,\mathrm{RHom}_R(R/I, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_R(F_*R/I, F_*M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_R(R/I, M)$$

where the first morphism is the canonical one and the second is induced by $F\colon R/I \longrightarrow F_*R/I$ in the first and $\kappa\colon F_*M \longrightarrow M$ in the second argument. A free resolution of the R-module R/I is given by the Koszul chain complex $\mathcal{K}(\underline{f})$. It is the tensor product of the following complexes $\mathcal{K}(f_i)$

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{f_i} R \longrightarrow 0$$

concentrated in degrees 1 and 0. Each complex $\mathcal{K}(f_i)$ admits a lift of the Frobenius $F\colon R \longrightarrow F_R$ in degree 0 by mapping r to $r^p f_i^{p-1}$. This means the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{f_i} & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_i^{p-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_*R & \xrightarrow{f_i} & F_*R & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commute. The maps $R \xrightarrow{f_i^{p-1}} F_*R$ give rise to a map of complexes $F\colon \mathcal{K}(\underline{f}) \longrightarrow F_*\mathcal{K}(\underline{f})$ lifting the Frobenius in degree zero: In general, if $\varphi\colon M \longrightarrow F_*M$ and $\psi\colon N \longrightarrow F_*N$ are R-linear maps, it is easy to check that the map

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R N &\longrightarrow M \otimes_R N \\ m \otimes n &\mapsto \varphi(n) \otimes \psi(n) \end{aligned}$$

of abelian groups is p-linear, i.e. it is an R-linear map $M \otimes N \longrightarrow F_*(M \otimes N)$. Thus we inductively obtain an R-linear morphism of complexes $F\colon \mathcal{K}(\underline{f}) \longrightarrow F_*\mathcal{K}(\underline{f})$. Unwinding the definition of the tensor product of complexes we see that the left end of this map is the square

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{((-1)^{i+1}f_i)_i} & R^n & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \prod_{i=1}^n f_i^{p-1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\prod_{j\neq i} f_j^{p-1})_i} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_*R & \xrightarrow{((-1)^{i+1}f_i)_i} & F_*R^n & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Consequently, the n-th degree of the composition

$$F_* \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}), M) \xrightarrow{\text{canonical}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(F_*\mathcal{K}, F_*M) \xrightarrow{F\circ_\circ\kappa} Hom_R(\mathcal{K}, M)$$

maps m to $\kappa((\prod f_i^{p-1})m)$ by the identification $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$ via $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(1)$. The differential $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}_{n-1}(\underline{f}), M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}_n(\underline{f}), M)$ corresponds to the map

$$\begin{aligned} M^n &\longrightarrow M \\ (m_i)_i &\mapsto \sum f_i m_i. \end{aligned}$$

The image is the submodule IM and thus the n-th cohomology of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}(\underline{f}), M)$ is isomorphic to M/IM, equipped with the claimed Cartier structure. □

Remark 2.14. The isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, M) \longrightarrow M/IM$ of the underlying sheaves is not canonical. It depends on the choice of the regular sequence $\underline{f}$. From the construction of this isomorphism we see that if $\underline{g} = g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ is another regular sequence of R generating I and $g_i = \sum c_{ij} f_j$, the automorphism τ on M/IM making the diagram



commutative is given by multiplication with $\det(c_{ij})$.

However, interpreting the top-Ext-groups as quotient modules in the case we are interested in, namely $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, M) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, \omega_R)^{-1}$, leads to isomorphisms, which are independent of the regular sequence generating I, since the correcting factors from both terms cancel.

Lemma 2.15. *Let R be a ring such that F_*R is finite free, N a γ-module over R and $I \subseteq R$ an ideal which is generated by a regular sequence of length n. There is a canonical isomorphism between Cartier modules*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes \omega_R) \cong N/IN \otimes \omega_{R/I}.$$

Proof. Choose a regular sequence $\underline{f} = f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n$ such that I is generated by the f_i. Also choose a basis $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_t$ of R viewed as a free R-module via the Frobenius. The dual basis $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_t$ is given by $\varphi_i(r_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let κ denote the intrinsic Cartier structure of $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes \omega_R)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}$ denote the Cartier structure of $N/IN \otimes \omega_{R/I}$ as explained in Proposition 2.9. Identifying $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes \omega_R)$ with $(N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R)$ via the isomorphism φ_f from Lemma 2.13 we obtain the map

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa' \colon F_*((N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R)) &\longrightarrow (N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R) \\ n \otimes m + I(N \otimes \omega_R) &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi_i(1)\gamma(n) \otimes \kappa_R(r_i f^{p-1} m) + I(N \otimes \omega_R) \end{aligned}$$

as the induced Cartier structure on $(N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R)$. Here γ_N is the γ-structure of N.

Also identifying $\omega_{R/I} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R/I, \omega_R)$ with $\omega_R/I\omega_R$ via $\underline{f}$ its Cartier structure $\kappa_{R/I}$ is given by $m + I\omega_Y \mapsto \kappa_R(f^{p-1}m) + I(\omega_Y)$. From this perspective the Cartier structure

of $\tilde{\kappa}$ of $N/IN \otimes \omega_{R/I}$ induces the structural morphism

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\kappa}' \colon F_*(N/IN \otimes \omega_R/I\omega_R) &\longrightarrow (N/IN \otimes \omega_R/I\omega_R)\\ \overline{n} \otimes \overline{m} &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\varphi_i(1)} \cdot \overline{\gamma(n)} \otimes \overline{\kappa_R(r_i f^{p-1} m)}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \varphi_i(1)\gamma(n) \otimes \kappa_R(r_i f^{p-1} m) + I(N \otimes \omega_R)\end{aligned}$$

on $N/IN \otimes \omega_R/I\omega_R$. Finally, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\tau \colon (N \otimes_R \omega_R)/I(N \otimes_R \omega_R) \xrightarrow{\sim} N/IN \otimes_{R/I} \omega_R/I\omega_R$$

of R-modules mapping $\overline{n \otimes m}$ to $\overline{n} \otimes \overline{m}$. The explicit formulas for κ' and $\tilde{\kappa}'$ show that τ makes the square in the middle of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes_R \omega_R) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & F^\flat \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes_R \omega_R) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat\varphi} \\
(N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R) & \xrightarrow{\kappa'} & F^\flat((N \otimes \omega_R)/I(N \otimes \omega_R)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat\tau} \\
N/IN \otimes \omega_R/I\omega_R & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\kappa}'} & F^\flat(N/IN \otimes \omega_R/I\omega_R) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)} \\
i^*N \otimes_R \omega_{R/I} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\kappa}} & F^\flat(i^*N \otimes_R \omega_{R/I})
\end{array}$$

commutative. The squares above and below commute by construction. Let Φ be the composition $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{\omega_R})^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{N \otimes \omega_R}$. We have just seen that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes_R \omega_R) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & F^\flat \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(R/I, N \otimes_R \omega_R) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F^\flat\Phi} \\
i^*N \otimes_R \omega_{R/I} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\kappa}} & F^\flat(i^*N \otimes_R \omega_{R/I})
\end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore Φ is natural: Let $\underline{g} = g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ be another regular sequence generating I with $g_i = \sum c_{ij} f_j$. Then by Remark 2.14

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\underline{g}}^{\omega_R})^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \varphi_{\underline{g}}^{N \otimes \omega_R} &= \det(c_{ij})^{-1} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{\omega_R})^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \det(c_{ij}) \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{N \otimes \omega_R}\\ &= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{\omega_R})^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \varphi_{\underline{f}}^{N \otimes \omega_R}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.16. *Let $i\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of smooth schemes and let N be a γ-sheaf on Y. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\Phi\colon \overline{i}^* \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^*N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X$$

of Cartier modules, which is functorial in N.

Proof. Choose an affine open covering $\{U_i\}_i = \operatorname{Spec} R_i$ of Y such that $i_{U_i}\colon i^{-1}(U_i) \hookrightarrow U_i$ is a complete intersection. By refining the covering we can assume that F_*R_i is free. Let $I_i \subseteq R_i$ be the ideal such $i|_{U_i}$ corresponds to the ring homomorphism $R_i \longrightarrow R_i/I_i$. By Lemma 2.15 we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^n_R(R_i/I_i, N|_{U_i} \otimes \omega_{R_i}) \cong i^*N|_{U_i} \otimes \omega_{R_i/I_i}$ which is natural and therefore we can glue the local isomorphisms to the desired global map Φ. □

Proposition 2.16 shows that there is a natural isomorphism of functors $R^n i^\flat \circ __ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y \cong __ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X \circ i^*$. This enables us to prove Theorem 2.12 because $__ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y$ and $__ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X$ are equivalences of categories.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. For every γ-sheaf N on Y, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} R^n i^\flat(N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y) &\cong i^{-1} \underline{\mathrm{Ext}}^n_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y) \\ &\cong i^*N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.16, which is functorial in N. As $__ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \omega_Y$ and $__ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X$ are equivalences of categories, even the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(Y) & \underset{__\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\omega_Y}{\overset{__\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\omega_Y^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(Y) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle R^n i^!} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*} \\ \mathrm{Coh}_\kappa(X) & \underset{__\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X}{\overset{__\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. Passing to crystals finishes the proof. □

Now we turn to open immersions.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $j\colon U \longrightarrow X$ be an open immersion of smooth k-schemes and M a Cartier module on X. Then there is a natural isomorphism of γ-sheaves*

$$j^!M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \omega_U^{-1} \cong j^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}).$$

Proof. One easily checks that for a Cartier module M on X with structural morphism $\tilde{\kappa}\colon M \longrightarrow F_X^\flat M$, the Cartier structure on j^*M is the composition

$$j^*M \xrightarrow{j^*\tilde{\kappa}} j^*F^\flat M \xrightarrow{\sim} F_U^\flat j^*M.$$

The dualizing sheaf ω_U of U is given by $j^*\omega_X$. Therefore we have $j^!M \otimes \omega_U^{-1} \cong j^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1})$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} j^*M \otimes j^*\omega_X^{-1} & \longrightarrow & F^\flat j^*M \otimes F^\flat j^*\omega_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & F^*(j^*M \otimes j^*\omega_X^{-1}) \\ \downarrow\sim & & \downarrow\sim & & \downarrow\sim \\ j^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & j^*(F^\flat M \otimes F^\flat \omega_X^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & j^*F^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Here the horizontal arrows are the γ-structures of $j^*M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \omega_U^{-1}$ and $j^*(M \otimes \omega_X^{-1})$. □

2.3 The equivalence between Cartier crystals and locally finitely generated unit modules

The category of γ-sheaves was just an intermediate stepping stone on the way to locally finitely generated unit modules. Recall that there is a functorial way of associating an lfgu $\mathcal{O}_X[F]$-module to a coherent γ-sheaf N on X.

Definition 2.18. Let Gen be the functor

$$\mathrm{QCoh}_\gamma(X) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X)$$

which assigns to any quasi-coherent γ-sheaf N with structural morphism $\gamma\colon N \longrightarrow F^*N$ the direct limit $\mathcal{N}$ of

$$N \xrightarrow{\gamma} F^*N \xrightarrow{F^*\gamma} F^{2*}N \xrightarrow{F^{2*}\gamma} \cdots$$

together with the induced isomorphism $F^*\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N}$ equipping $\mathcal{N}$ with the structure of a unit $\mathcal{O}_X[F]$-module.

Lemma 2.19. *The functor* Gen *is essentially surjective and induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{QCrys}_\gamma(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X).$$

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Neg}\colon \mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}_\kappa(X)$ be the functor which assigns to a quasi-coherent unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with structural morphism $u\colon F^*\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ the quasi-coherent γ-sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ whose structural morphism is given by the inverse of u. Obviously there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Gen} \circ \mathrm{Neg} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id}$$

whence the surjectivity of Gen.

For a quasi-coherent γ-sheaf N, the corresponding crystals $\mathcal{N}$ is nil-isomorphic to the corresponding crystal of $\mathrm{Neg} \circ \mathrm{Gen}(N)$. The reason for this is the fact that the structural morphism $\gamma\colon N \longrightarrow F^*N$ of a quasi-coherent γ-sheaf N is a nil-isomorphism: It is immediate that the structural map of the kernel and the cokernel of γ interpreted as a morphism of γ-sheaves is the zero map. □

The image of Gen of the subcategory $\mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X)$ of coherent γ-sheaves on X is the category $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$. Indeed, after localizing at nilpotent γ-sheaves and considering γ-crystals, Gen induces an equivalence of categories.

Proposition 2.20. *[BB11, Proposition 5.12] The functor*

$$\mathrm{Gen}_X\colon \mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$$

factors through $\mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X)$*, inducing an equivalence of categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Coh}_\gamma(X) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\mathrm{Gen}} & \\ \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \{\textit{lfgu } \mathcal{O}_X[F]\textit{-modules}\} \end{array}$$

Theorem 2.21. *Let* G *denote the composition of the exact functors* $_\otimes\omega_X^{-1}$ *and* Gen*. It induces an equivalence of derived categories*

$$\mathrm{G}\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}).$$

Proof. Combining Corollary 2.10 and Lemma 2.19 we see that G induces an equivalence of abelian categories $\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X)$ and therefore an equivalence of derived categories $D^b(\mathrm{QCrys}(X)) \longrightarrow D^b(\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X))$. Since G is exact and restricts to an equivalence $\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ we obtain an equivalence $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X))$.

It remains to show that $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X))$ is naturally equivalent to $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$. By 11.6 of [EK04] the inclusion $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X) \longrightarrow \mu(X)$ induces an equivalence $D^b(\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$. As the inclusion $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X) \longrightarrow \mu(X)$ factors through $\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X)$, this implies an equivalence $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mu_{\mathrm{u}}(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$. □

Finally we prove that the equivalence G of derived functors is compatible with pull-backs. Note that for a morphism $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of smooth schemes the functor

$$f^!\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$$

is obtained from a right-exact functor of abelian categories.

Definition 2.22. Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of smooth k-schemes. The (underived) pull-back $f^*\mathcal{M}$ of an $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$f^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{F,X\longrightarrow Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}.$$

The pull-back $f^!$ for complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules from Definition 2.3.1 of [EK04] is the left derived functor of f^* shifted by $d_{X/Y}$:

$$f^!\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{F,X\longrightarrow Y} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}} f^{-1}\mathcal{M}[d_{X/Y}].$$

Corollary 2.23. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of smooth k-schemes of relative dimension $d_{X/Y} = n$. There is a natural equivalence of functors $\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(Y) \longrightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$:*

$$f^* \circ \mathrm{G}_Y \cong \mathrm{G}_X \circ R^n f^\flat.$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(Y) & \xrightarrow{_\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}} & \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gen}_Y} & \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(Y) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle R^n f^\flat} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\
\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X) & \xrightarrow{_\otimes\omega_X^{-1}} & \mathrm{Crys}_\gamma(X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gen}_X} & \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)
\end{array}$$

The left square commutes by Theorem 2.12.

Let N be a γ-sheaf on Y with structural morphism $\gamma\colon N \longrightarrow F_Y^* N$. By construction, $\mathrm{Gen}_X(f^*N)$ is the direct limit of the bottom row of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
f^*N & \xrightarrow{f^*\gamma} & f^*F_Y N & \xrightarrow{f^*F_Y^*\gamma} & f^*F_Y^{2*}N & \xrightarrow{f^*F_Y^{2*}\gamma} & \cdots \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \\
f^*N & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{f^*N}} & F_X f^*N & \xrightarrow{F_X^*\gamma_{f^*N}} & F_X^{2*} f^*N & \xrightarrow{F_X^{2*}\gamma_{f^*N}} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

which commutes by definition of the structural morphism γ_{f^*N} of f^*N. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Gen}_X(f^*N) &\cong \varinjlim(f^*(N \xrightarrow{\gamma} F^*N \xrightarrow{F^*\gamma} F^{2*}N \xrightarrow{F^{2*}\gamma} \cdots)) \\
&\cong f^* \varinjlim(N \xrightarrow{\gamma} F^*N \xrightarrow{F^*\gamma} F^{2*}N \xrightarrow{F^{2*}\gamma} \cdots) \\
&= f^* \mathrm{Gen}_Y(N)
\end{aligned}$$

because direct limits commute with f^*. Hence the right square of the diagram of functors above also commutes. □

Lemma 2.24. *Let $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of smooth schemes and P be a flat left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-module. Then*

$$R^n((_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ i^\flat \circ (_ \otimes \omega_Y))P = 0 \text{ for all } n \neq -d_{X/Y}.$$

Proof. Flat left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules are in particular flat as quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. Thus P is locally free as a quasi-coherent sheaf and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R^n((_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ i^\flat \circ (_ \otimes \omega_Y))P &\cong ((_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ R^n i^\flat \circ (_ \otimes \omega_Y))\mathcal{O}_Y^j \\
&\cong (_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ R^n i^\flat \omega_Y^j \\
&\cong 0
\end{aligned}$$

locally for all $n \neq -d_{X/Y}$ on the underlying quasi-coherent sheaves. □

Theorem 2.25. *For every smooth scheme X, the equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{G}\colon \{\mathrm{Crys}_\kappa(X)\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$$

induces an equivalence of derived categories $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$. This equivalence is compatible with pull-backs for closed immersions, i.e. we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{G}_X \circ i^! \cong i^! \circ \mathrm{G}_Y$$

if $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion of smooth schemes.

Proof. This is an application of a general result concerning derived functors, namely [Har66, Proposition I.7.4]:

Proposition 2.26. *Lat $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be abelian categories, where $\mathcal{A}$ has enough injectives, and let $F_1\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor which has cohomological dimension $\leq n$ on $\mathcal{A}$. Let P be the set of objects X of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $R^iF_1(X) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$, and assume that every object of $\mathcal{A}$ is a quotient of an element of P. Let $F_2 = R^nF_1$. Then RF_1 and LF_2 exist, and there is a functorial isomorphism*

$$RF_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} LF_2[-n].$$

First we have to check the requirements. Let F denote the functor $(_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ R^0i^\flat \circ (_ \otimes \omega_Y)$. As done in the proof of Lemma 2.13 the derived functors of $R^0i^\flat = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, _)$ may be computed locally by resolving $i_*\mathcal{O}_X$ by the Koszul complex. Since this complex has length $-d_{X/Y}$ the cohomological dimension of F is smaller or equal $-d_{X/Y}$. Every left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-module is the quotient of a flat left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-module ([EK04, Lemma 1.6.2]). Finally, for every flat left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-module P, Lemma 2.24 states that $R^nF(P) = 0$ for all $n \neq -d_{X/Y}$.

It follows from [Har66, Proposition I.7.4] that $RF \cong Li^*[d_{X/Y}] = i^!$ because $i^* \cong R^{-d_{X/Y}}F$ (Theorem 2.12). Thus

$$(_ \otimes \omega_X^{-1}) \circ i^! \circ (_ \otimes \omega_Y) \cong i^!,$$

i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y)) & \underset{_\otimes\omega_Y}{\overset{_\otimes\omega_Y^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^!} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i^!} \\ D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) & \underset{_\otimes\omega_X}{\overset{_\otimes\omega_X^{-1}}{\rightleftarrows}} & D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. □

Corollary 2.27. *For every closed immersion of smooth schemes $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{G}_Y \circ i_* \cong i_* \circ \mathrm{G}_X\,.$$

Proof. This follows formally as G_X and G_Y are equivalences of categories and since i_* is uniquely determined as a left adjoint functor of $i^!$. □

Proposition 2.28. *Let $j\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be an open immersion of schemes. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{G}_X \circ j^* \cong j^! \circ \mathrm{G}_Y \text{ and } \mathrm{G}_Y \circ Rj_* \cong j_+ \circ \mathrm{G}_X\,.$$

Proof. We already have seen that $_ \otimes {\omega_X}^{-1} \circ j^* \cong j^* \circ _ \otimes \omega_Y^{-1}$ (Proposition 2.17) and that $\mathrm{Gen}_X \circ j^* \cong j^! \circ \mathrm{Gen}_Y$ (cf. the proof of Corollary 2.23, this part holds for an arbitrary flat morphism of smooth k-schemes). Therefore $\mathrm{G}_X \circ j^* \cong j^! \circ \mathrm{G}_Y$. The rest follows from the adjunction of Rj_* or j_+ and j^* or $j^!$. □

3 Adjunction for morphisms with proper support

This section is largely independent from the rest of the paper. We establish an extension of the adjunction between Rf_* and $f^!$ for proper morphisms from Grothendieck-Serre duality to the case where f is only proper over the support of the complexes considered.

We do not assume that the characteristic of k is finite. However, all schemes we consider are of finite type over a perfect field k. For a scheme X let $D^*_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$ or $D^*_{\mathrm{c}}(X)$ with $* \in \{+, -, \mathrm{b}\}$ denote the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with quasi-coherent or coherent cohomology. Here $* = +$ or $* = -$ or $* = b$ means that we require that the cohomology sheaves are bounded below or bounded above ore bounded in both directions.

Recall the classical adjunction:

Theorem 3.1. *[Har66, VII, 3.4(c)] Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between noetherian schemes with Y admitting a dualizing complex. For $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^-_{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(Y)$ the composition*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, f^!\mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rf_*f^!\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f} \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. Here the first morphism is the canonical one and the second is the trace map.

In "Notes on Derived Functors and Grothendieck Duality", Lipman proves this theorem with weaker assumptions. For example by [Lip09, Corollary 4.4.2] Theorem 3.1 holds even if $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ only has quasi-coherent cohomology.

In this section we relax the properness assumption and show the following:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a separated and finite type morphism of k-schemes and let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ be closed immersions with a proper morphism $f'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$*

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. Then there is a natural transformation $\mathrm{tr}_f \colon Rf_* R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ *such that for all* $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^-_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_X)_Z$ *and* $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)_Z$ *the composition*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_* \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rf_* R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f} \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, taking global sections, the functor Rf_* *is left adjoint to the functor* $R\Gamma_{Z'} f^!$.

The notation used here will be explained in the next subsection. Note that the properness of f' is equivalent to the properness of $i \circ f'$.

3.1 Local cohomology

Let $i \colon Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion and $j \colon U \hookrightarrow X$ the open immersion of the complement $U = X \backslash Z$:

$$Z \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} X \overset{j}{\hookleftarrow} U.$$

Definition 3.3. We say that an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$ has support on Z if $j^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$ in $D(X)$. We write $D(X)_Z$, $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)_Z$ etc. for the subcategory of objects of $D(X)$, $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$ etc. whose cohomology is supported on Z.

The local cohomology functor $R\Gamma_Z$ is the derived functor of the left exact functor

$$\Gamma_Z := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^n, __)$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is any sheaf of ideals defining Z. The natural inclusion $\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ induces a transformation $R\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$. One has the following triangle:

Proposition 3.4. *For every* $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D(X)$ *there is a fundamental distinguished triangle*

$$R\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow Rj_* j^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet$$

where the second map is the natural one from the adjunction of Rj_* *and* j^*.

In particular, $R\Gamma_Z$ only depends on the closed subset $i(Z)$ and not on the scheme structure of Z. The fundamental triangle allows another characterization of $D(X)_Z$:

Lemma 3.5. *The subcategory $D(X)_Z$ consists of all complexes $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D(X)$ such that the natural map $R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 3.6. *For every injective $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{I}$, the $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{I})$ is injective.*

Proof. It suffices to check the injectivity of $\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{I})$ locally. Thus the assertion follows from ([BS13, Proposition 2.1.4]). □

As $R\Gamma_Z \circ R\Gamma_Z \cong R\Gamma_Z$, the image of $R\Gamma_Z$ is exactly the subcategory $D(X)_Z$. Furthermore the functor $R\Gamma_Z$ is right adjoint to the inclusion $D(X)_Z \hookrightarrow D(X)$. This is made precise in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ be a complex in $D^-(X)_Z$. Then there is a functorial isomorphism*

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$$

for every $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^+(X)$.

Proof. Replacing $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ by a complex of injectives if necessary, and because of the fact that Γ_Z preserves injectives, it suffices to construct a natural isomorphism $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$. Functoriality of Γ_Z yields a morphism

$$\psi\colon \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet).$$

Since $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(__, __)$ is a bifunctor, we obtain canonical maps $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ & \nwarrow & \uparrow \sim \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

commutes. It remains to show that ψ is an isomorphism of complexes. But this comes down to showing that for morphisms $\varphi\colon \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with $\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$ the mapping $\varphi \mapsto \Gamma_Z\varphi$ is a bijection. Starting with a morphism $\alpha\colon \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ the natural transformation $\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ leads to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{G} \\ \sim\uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Gamma_Z\mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow[\Gamma_Z(\alpha)]{} & \Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}. \end{array}$$

□

Next we verify the compatibility of $R\Gamma_Z$ with the functors Rf_*, $f^!$ and $\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of finite type and $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ a closed immersion. Let $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ denote the projection $Z' := Z \times_Y X \longrightarrow X$.*

(a) There is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'} \cong R\Gamma_Z Rf_*.$$

(b) If f is flat, then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$f^*R\Gamma_Z \cong R\Gamma_{Z'} f^*.$$

Proof. First we show that $Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}$ is supported on Z. Let $u\colon U \hookrightarrow Y$ and $v\colon V \hookrightarrow X$ be the open immersions of the complements of Z and Z' in Y and X. Let f' denote the restriction of f to V. We obtain a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f'} & U \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

Hence $u^*Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'} \cong Rf'_*v^*R\Gamma_{Z'} = 0$. From Proposition 3.7 we know that the canonical morphism $Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'} \longrightarrow Rf_*$ factors through $R\Gamma_Z Rf_*$. Let α denote the corresponding morphism $Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'} \longrightarrow R\Gamma_Z Rf_*$.

The composition β of the natural isomorphisms

$$Rf_*Rv_*v^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Ru_*Rf'_*v^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Ru_*u^*Rf_*$$

fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'} & \longrightarrow & Rf_* & \longrightarrow & Rf_*Rv_*v^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \| & & \sim\downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} \\ R\Gamma_Z Rf_* & \longrightarrow & Rf_* & \longrightarrow & Ru_*u^*Rf_* \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the natural maps. The lines are distinguished triangles, hence α is an isomorphism. This shows (a).

For (b) we proceed similarly. The cartesian square above gives rise to the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^*R\Gamma_Z & \longrightarrow & f^* & \longrightarrow & f^*Ru_*u^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ R\Gamma_{Z'} f^* & \longrightarrow & f^* & \longrightarrow & Rv_*v^*f^* \end{array}$$

of distinguished triangles. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ and $\mathcal{G}^\bullet$ be complexes in $D^b_{qc}(X)$. There are natural isomorphisms*

$$(R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} (R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong R\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}^\bullet)$$

in $D_{qc}(X)$.

Proof. The natural map $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet$ factors through $R\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$ because

$$j^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong j^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} j^*R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong 0.$$

Let ρ denote the composition of the natural isomorphism

$$Rj_*j^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong Rj_*(j^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} j^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$$

and the isomorphism from the projection formula

$$Rj_*(j^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} j^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \cong \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} Rj_*j^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet.$$

We obtain a morphism of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{G}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} Rj_*j^*\mathcal{G}^\bullet \\
\downarrow & & \| & & \rho\downarrow\sim \\
R\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & Rj_*j^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet).
\end{array}$$

Therefore the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Analogously one shows that

$$R\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet \cong R\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{G}^\bullet).$$

□

Finally, for an open immersion $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$, we study the connection between $R\Gamma_Z$ and $R\Gamma_{\overline{Z}}$ where $\overline{Z}$ is the closure of Z in $\overline{X}$.

Proposition 3.10. *The functors Rj_* and j^* are inverse equivalences*

$$D_{qc}(X)_Z \underset{j^*}{\overset{Rj_*}{\rightleftarrows}} D_{qc}(\overline{X})_{\overline{Z}}^{\overline{Z}\setminus X}.$$

where $D_{qc}(\overline{X})_{\overline{Z}}^{\overline{Z}\setminus X}$ is the full subcategory of $D_{qc}(\overline{X})_{\overline{Z}}$ of complexes $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ with $R\Gamma_{\overline{Z}\setminus X}\mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0$.

Proof. Let $u\colon U \longrightarrow X$ and $u'\colon U' \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ denote the open immersions of the complements U of Z in X and U' of $\overline{Z}$ in $\overline{X}$. The natural isomorphism $\overline{u}^*Ru_* \cong Rj'_*u^*$ shows that the essential image of Ru_* is a subcategory of $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(\overline{X})_{\overline{Z}}$. The inclusion j factors through the open immersions $\sigma\colon X \longrightarrow U' \cup X$ and $\tau\colon U' \cup X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$. Since the composition

$$R\tau_*R\sigma_* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\longrightarrow R\tau_*\tau^*} R\tau_*R\tau^*R\tau_*R\sigma_* \xrightarrow{\tau^*R\tau_*\longrightarrow\mathrm{id}} R\tau_*R\sigma_*$$

is the identity and the second morphism is an isomorphism, the first map is an isomorphism too. Therefore $R\Gamma_{Z^c}Rj_*j^* = 0$.

The natural morphism $j^*Rj_* \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ is always an isomorphism. It remains to show that the natural map $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow Rj_*j^*$ is an isomorphism. This follows from the fundamental triangle

$$R\Gamma_{\overline{X}\setminus X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow Rj_*j^*$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{\overline{X}\setminus X}\mathcal{F}^\bullet &\cong R\Gamma_{\overline{X}\setminus X}R\Gamma_{\overline{Z}}\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ &\cong R\Gamma_{\overline{Z}\setminus X}\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ &\cong 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.11. *If Z is closed subset of a scheme X and $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ is an open immersion such that the image of Z in $\overline{X}$ is closed, then there is a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$\varepsilon\colon R\Gamma_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Rj_*R\Gamma_Z j^*.$$

Proof. We define ε as the composition of the natural map $R\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow Rj_*j^*R\Gamma_Z$, which by Proposition 3.10 is an isomorphism, and the natural isomorphism $Rj_*j^*R\Gamma_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Rj_*R\Gamma_Z j^*$. □

For example, the condition that Z is also closed in $\overline{X}$ is satisfied if $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ is an open immersion of Y-schemes and $i\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion of Y-schemes over same base scheme Y such that the structural morphisms $Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $\overline{X} \longrightarrow Y$ are proper, see Lemma 3.15. When constructing the generalized trace map we will be exactly in this situation.

Lemma 3.12. *For an open immersion $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ and a closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that $j(Z)$ is closed in $\overline{Z}$, the natural transformation*

$$\tau\colon Rj_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}}(Rj_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rj_*\mathcal{G}^\bullet)$$

is a functorial isomorphism for $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^-_{qc}(X)_Z$ and $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^+(X)$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rj_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Rj_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(j^*Rj_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}}(Rj_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rj_*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}}(Rj_*j^*Rj_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rj_*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}}(Rj_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, Rj_*\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the counit $j^*Rj_* \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ and the arrow to the bottom right corner stems from the unit $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow Rj_*j^*$. The upper square commutes

because of the functoriality of τ. The triangle on the bottom commutes because the composition of the unit and counit of an adjunction in the manner of the diagram is canonically isomorphic to the identity. Hence the whole diagram is commutative. Finally, the composition of the two vertical arrows on the right is an isomorphism by the adjunction of j^* and Rj_* ([Har66, Proposition II.5.10]. It follows that the vertical arrow on the left is an isomorphism. □

3.2 Adjunction for quasi-coherent sheaves

As pointed out in the introduction the adjunction between Rf_* and $f^!$ for a proper morphism $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is based on the trace map. The trace map is a natural transformation of functors

$$\mathrm{tr}_f\colon Rf_*f^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}\,.$$

The classical way to construct the trace is to define it for residual complexes and then "pull ourselves up by our bootstraps": As Y is regular, the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a dualizing sheaf, and hence in particular a pointwise dualizing, complex. Its Cousin complex $K^\bullet := E^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, see [Har66, IV.2], is an injective resolution of $\mathcal{O}_X$ and an example for a *residual complex*. We recall the basic facts from chapter 3.2 of [Con00]

Definition 3.13. For every $x \in X$ let i_x denote the canonical morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \longrightarrow X$. We set $\mathcal{J}(x) = i_{x*}J(x)$ where $J(x)$ is an injective hull of the residue field $k(x)$ considered as a module over $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. A residual complex $K^\bullet$ on X is a complex in $D_c^+(X)$ of quasi-coherent injectives such that there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} K^n \cong \bigoplus_{x\in X} \mathcal{J}(x)$$

One can show that given a residual complex $K^\bullet$ there is a unique function $d_{K^\bullet}\colon X \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K^n \cong \bigoplus_{d_{K^\bullet}(x)=n} \mathcal{J}(x).$$

Restricting to residual complexes one can construct a functor $g^\Delta\colon \mathrm{Res}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Res}(X)$ for every morphism $g\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite type by gluing the functors $g^\flat$ for finite g and $g^\sharp$ for separated and smooth g. This gives rise to the *twisted* or *exceptional inverse image functor*:

Definition 3.14. Let $g\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of finite type. The functor $g^!\colon D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(Y) \longrightarrow D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$ is given by

$$g^! = D_{g^\Delta K^\bullet} \circ Lg^* \circ D_{K^\bullet}$$

where D is the duality.

For proper f we can define a map of complexes $\mathrm{tr}_f(K^\bullet)\colon f_*f^\Delta K^\bullet \longrightarrow K^\bullet$. With this trace map in hand one defines the natural transformation $\mathrm{tr}_f\colon Rf_*f^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ in the

category $D^+_{\mathrm{coh}}(Y)$ as the unique map making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*f^! & = & Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(Lf^* \circ D_{K^\bullet}(_), f^\Delta K^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow \sim \\ \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_f & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(D_{K^\bullet}(_), f_*f^\Delta K^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow \mathrm{tr}_f(K^\bullet) \\ \mathrm{id} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(D_{K^\bullet}(_), K^\bullet) \end{array}$$

commutative. Here the first vertical isomorphism on the right is the natural isomorphism from the adjunction of Rf_* and Lf^*. Note that $f^\Delta(K^\bullet)$ is injective, hence $f_*f^\Delta(K^\bullet)$ computes $Rf_*f^\Delta(K^\bullet)$.

From now on we do not assume that f is proper. We are interested in the case where $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a separated morphism of finite type of smooth noetherian schemes and $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ are closed immersions with a proper morphism $f'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that $f \circ i' = i \circ f'$. The compactification theorem of Nagata states that there exists a factorization of f into an open immersion $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ and a proper morphism $\overline{f}\colon \overline{X} \longrightarrow Y$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes that factors through an open immersion $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ followed by a proper morphism $\overline{f}\colon \overline{X} \longrightarrow Y$. Then for every closed immersion $i\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ such that $f \circ i$ is proper, the composition $j \circ i$ is also a closed immersion.*

Proof. This is exercise II.4.4 of [Har77]. □

Next we define the natural transformation $Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ for every $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b_{\mathrm{coh}}(Y)$ generalizing the trace map for f proper and $Z = Y$.

Definition 3.16. For a morphism $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite type and closed immersions $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ with a proper morphism $f'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

choose a compactification, i.e. an open immersion $j\colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ and a proper morphism $\overline{f}\colon \overline{X} \longrightarrow X$ with $\overline{f} \circ j = f$. We obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z' & \xhookrightarrow{i'} & X & \xrightarrow{j} & \overline{X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{f}} & \\ Z & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Y & & \end{array}$$

The trace

$$\mathrm{tr}_{f,Z} = \mathrm{tr}_f \colon Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$$

is the composition

$$Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} R\overline{f}_*Rj_*R\Gamma_{Z'}j^*\overline{f}^! \xrightarrow{\sim} R\overline{f}_*R\Gamma_{Z'}\overline{f}^! \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{Z'}\longrightarrow\mathrm{id}} R\overline{f}_*\overline{f}^! \xrightarrow{tr_{\overline{f}}} \mathrm{id} \tag{4}$$

where the second isomorphism is the canonical one of Corollary 3.11 and the last morphism is the classical Grothendieck-Serre trace for the proper map $\overline{f}$.

Note that by Proposition 3.7 tr_f factors through $R\Gamma_Z$, i.e. there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_f} & \mathrm{id}\,. \\ & \searrow^{\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}_f} \quad \nearrow & \\ & R\Gamma_Z & \end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}_f$ is induced by tr_f and the map $R\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$ is the natural one. We will not distinguish between $\widetilde{\mathrm{tr}}_f$ and tr_f.

Of course we have to show that tr_f is well-defined, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of a compactification. The next lemma prepares the proof of this independence.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be an open immersion and $Z \subseteq X$ closed such that $f(Z)$ is closed in Y. Then for every compactification $X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y$, the map tr_f equals the isomorphism $Rf_*R\Gamma_Z f^! \cong R\Gamma_Z$ of Corollary 3.11 followed by the natural morphism $R\Gamma_Z \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$.*

Proof. The claim of the lemma is the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*R\Gamma_Z f^! & \xrightarrow{\sim} & R\overline{f}_*Rj_*R\Gamma_Z j^!\overline{f}^! \\ \downarrow\sim & & \downarrow\sim \\ \Gamma_Z & & R\overline{f}_*R\Gamma_Z\overline{f}^! \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{id} & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{f}}} & R\overline{f}_*\overline{f}^! \end{array}$$

where the two upper vertical isomorphisms are the ones of Corollary 3.11. Leaving out $R\Gamma_Z$ this diagram commutes in the category $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$ by the fact that the composition of adjoint functors is the adjoint of the composition. By construction of the isomorphisms $Rf_*R\Gamma_Z f^! \cong R\Gamma_Z$ and $Rj_*R\Gamma_Z j^! \cong R\Gamma_Z$ this implies the commutativity of the diagram above. □

Lemma 3.18. *The map tr_f is well-defined, i.e. it is independent of the choice of the compactification $j\colon X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$.*

Proof. Let $j_1 \colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}_1$ and $j_2 \colon X \longrightarrow \overline{X}_2$ be two open immersions with proper morphisms $f_1 \colon \overline{X}_1 \longrightarrow Y$ and $f_2 \colon \overline{X}_2$ such that $f = f_1 \circ j_1 = f_2 \circ j_2$. By considering $\overline{X}_1 \times_Y \overline{X}_2$ we can reduce to the case that there is a proper morphism $g \colon \overline{X}_1 \longrightarrow \overline{X}_2$ such that $g \circ j_1 = j_2$, i.e. the diagram



commutes. That tr_f is well-defined means exactly that the following diagram of functors is commutative:



The six vertical arrows in the middle are the natural maps occuring in the definition of tr_f. The only part of which the commutativity is not obvious is the bigger rectangle on the left side, which follows from Lemma 3.17 after canceling Rf_{2*} and $f_2^!$ from the edges of the terms. □

Note that the independence of tr_f of the chosen compactification implies that tr_f equals the classical trace map whenever f is proper.

The classical trace of a proper morphism satisfies many nice compatibilities. In fact these properties holds for our trace tr_f for morphism with proper support. But first let us recall the results in the proper case.

Definition 3.19. For a morphism $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite type and a residually stable morphism $g \colon Y' \longrightarrow Y$, let f' and g' be the projections of $X \times_Y Y'$ such that the square



is cartesian. There is a canonical isomorphism $a_{g,f}\colon g^*Rf_* \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf'_*g'*$ which may be defined as the adjoint map to the composition

$$Rf_* \longrightarrow Rf_*Rg'_*g'^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Rg_*Rf'_*g'^*$$

of natural maps ([Har66, Proposition II.5.12]). Furthermore there is an isomorphism $b_{g,f}\colon g'^*f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} f'^!g^*$. If f is proper $b_{g,f}$ is the adjoint of the composition

$$Rf'_*g'^*f^! \xrightarrow{a_{g,f}f^!} g^*Rf_*f^! \xrightarrow{g^*\operatorname{tr}_f} g^*$$

([Lip09, Corollary 4.4.3]).

Lemma 3.20. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of schemes.*

(a) TRA 1) If $g\colon Y \longrightarrow Z$ is another proper morphism, then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(gf)_*(gf)^! & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}_{g\circ f}} & \mathrm{id} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \operatorname{tr}_g} \\ Rg_*Rf_*f^!g^! & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{tr}_f} & Rg_*g^! \end{array}$$

where the first vertical arrow is the natural isomorphism.

(b) TRA 4) For a residually stable morphism $g\colon Y' \longrightarrow Y$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^*Rf_*f^! & \xrightarrow{u^*\operatorname{tr}_f} & g^* \\ \sim\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_{g,f}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \operatorname{tr}_{f'}g^*} \\ Rf'_*g'^*f^! & \xrightarrow[\sim]{Rf'_*b_{g,f}} & Rf'_*f'^!g^* \end{array}$$

where g' and f' are the two projections of $X \times_Y Y'$.

Proof. (a) is Corollary VII.3.4 of [Har66]. The commutativity of the diagram in (b) is a formal consequence if we define $b_{g,f}$ via the adjunction of Rf'_* and $f'^!$. Then it remains to verify that $b_{g,f}$ is an isomorphism which is done by Corollary 4.4.3 of [Lip09], cf. Definition 3.19. □

Using these results we can recover them for our generalized trace map.

Proposition 3.21. *The map tr_f is compatible with residually stable base change: For a residually stable morphism $g\colon S \longrightarrow Y$ let f' and g' be the projections of $S \times_Y X$. Furthermore let Z_S and Z'_S be the preimages of Z and Z' in S and $S \times_Y X$. Then there is a functorial isomorphism $\beta\colon g^*Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf'_*R\Gamma_{Z'_S}f'^!g^*$ such that the triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^*Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^! & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & Rf'_*R\Gamma_{Z'_S}f'^!g^* \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*(\operatorname{tr}_f)} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \operatorname{tr}_{f'}(g^*)} & \\ g^* & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. First we treat the case of an open immersion $u\colon U \longrightarrow Y$. Let $h\colon S \longrightarrow Y$ be a residually stable morphism and let u' and h' denote the projections of $S \times_Y U$. The natural isomorphisms $Ru_*Rh'_* \cong Rh_*Ru'_*$ and $h'^*u^* \cong u'^*h^*$ are compatible with the adjunction of $(u \circ h')^*$ and $R(u \circ h')_*$, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{id} & \longrightarrow & Rh_*Ru'_*u'^*h^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Rh_*Ru'_*h'^*u^* \\ \downarrow & & & & \| \\ Ru_*u^* & \longrightarrow & Ru_*Rh'_*h'^*u^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Rh_*Ru'_*h'^*u^* \end{array}$$

of natural maps commutes. Passing to the adjoint maps we see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^* & \longrightarrow & Ru'_*u'^*h^* \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \sim \\ h^*Ru_*u^* & \xrightarrow{a_{h,u}} & Ru'_*h'^*u^* \end{array}$$

is commutative. Applying the derived local cohomology functor and taking the inverse of the now invertible units of adjunction we obtain the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h^*Ru_*R\Gamma_{Z'}u^* & \xrightarrow{h^*\,\mathrm{tr}_u} & h^* \\ {\scriptstyle a_{h,u}}\downarrow & \overset{d_{h,u}}{\searrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_{u'}\,h^*} \\ Ru'_*h'^*R\Gamma_{Z'}u^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Ru'_*R\Gamma_{Z'_U}u'^*h^* \end{array}$$

where $d_{h,u}$ denotes the composition $h^*Ru_*R\Gamma_{Z'}u^* \xrightarrow{a_{h,u}} Ru'_*h'^*R\Gamma_{Z'}u^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Ru'_*R\Gamma_{Z'_U}u'^*h^*$.

Now we choose a compactification $X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y$ of f. Then $S \times_Y X \xrightarrow{j'} S \times_Y \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{f'}} S$ is a compactification of f' where $j' := \mathrm{id} \times j$ and $\overline{f'}$ is the projection. Let $\overline{g'}\colon S \times_Y \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ denote the projection onto $\overline{X}$. The three squares in the commutative diagram



are cartesian. It suffices to show the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
g^*\overline{f}_*j_*\Gamma_{Z'}j^*\overline{f}^! & \xrightarrow{a_{g,\overline{f}}} & \overline{f'}_*\overline{g'}^*j_*\Gamma_{Z'}j^*\overline{f}^! & \longrightarrow & \overline{f'}_*j'_*\Gamma_{Z'_S}j'^*\overline{g'}^*\overline{f}^! & \xrightarrow{b_{g,\overline{f}}} & \overline{f'}_*j'_*\Gamma_{Z'_S}j'^*\overline{f'}^!g^* \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim}\,\mathrm{tr}_j & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim}\,\mathrm{tr}_j & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim}\,\mathrm{tr}_{j'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim}\,\mathrm{tr}_{j'} \\
g^*\overline{f}_*\Gamma_{Z'}\overline{f}^! & \xrightarrow{a_{g,\overline{f}}} & \overline{f'}_*\overline{g'}^*\Gamma_{Z'}\overline{f}^! & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{f'}_*\Gamma_{Z'_S}\overline{g'}^*\overline{f}^! & \xrightarrow{b_{g,\overline{f}}} & \overline{f'}_*\Gamma_{Z'_S}\overline{f'}^!g^* \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{f}}} & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{f'}}} \\
g^* & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\mathrm{id}\qquad\qquad} & & & & g^*
\end{array}$$

where we left out the R indicating derived functors. The first and the third upper square commute because of the naturality of tr_j and $\mathrm{tr}_{j'}$. The commutativity of the upper square in the middle is the case of an open immersion we have already seen. Finally the commutativity of the bottom rectangle is the case of a proper morphism (Lemma 3.20). □

Proposition 3.22. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ and $g\colon Y \longrightarrow S$ be separated and finite type morphisms of schemes. Assume that $i\colon Z \longrightarrow S$, $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Y$ and $i''\colon Z'' \longrightarrow X$ are closed immersions with proper morphisms $f'\colon Z'' \longrightarrow Z'$ and $g'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z'' & \xrightarrow{i''} & X \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\
Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & Y \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle g'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
Z & \xrightarrow{i} & S
\end{array}$$

commutative. Then there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
R(g\circ f)_*R\Gamma_{Z''}(g\circ f)^! & & & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & \searrow^{\mathrm{tr}_{g\circ f}} & & & \\
Rg_*Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z''}f^!g^! & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{tr}_f]{} & Rg_*R\Gamma_{Z'}g^! & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{tr}_g]{} & \mathrm{id}
\end{array}$$

Proof. Choose a compactification $Y \xrightarrow{j_Y} \overline{Y} \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} S$ of g. The morphisms f and $j_Y \circ f$ induce an open immersion $j_X\colon X \longrightarrow Y \times_S \overline{Y}$. The projection $\overline{f}\colon Y \times_S \overline{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ is proper because it is the base change of the proper morphism $\overline{g}$. Finally let $Y \times_S \overline{Y} \xrightarrow{j'_X} X' \xrightarrow{f'} \overline{Y}$ be a compactification of the composition $j_Y \circ \overline{f}$. We obtain the following commutative

diagram:



Applying the compatibility of the classical trace with compositions to the proper morphisms f' and $\overline{g}$ and using Proposition 3.21 for the projection $Y \times_S \overline{Y} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ and the open immersion j_Y it is straightforward to finish the proof. The crucial point was to find suitable compactifications. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Consider the commutative diagram



of natural morphisms. The vertical arrows on the left are isomorphisms by Lemma 3.12, Corollary 3.11 and Proposition 3.7. The diagonal morphism to the lower right corner is the well-known isomorphism from the adjunction for the proper morphism $\overline{f}$. Hence the composition of the first diagonal morphism and the vertical morphisms on the right is an isomorphism. □

Definition 3.23. For a separated morphism $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of finite type, a compactification $X \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} Y$ and $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^+_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$ let

$$\chi^f_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}\colon f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow f^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet$$

be the morphism $j^*\varphi$ where $\varphi\colon \overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} L\overline{f}^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \overline{f}^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is the adjoint of the composition

$$R\overline{f}_*(\overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} L\overline{f}^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\rho} R\overline{f}_*(\overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{f}}} \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}^\bullet.$$

Here ρ denotes the isomorphism of the projection formula. The morphism $\chi^f_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}$ is independent of the choice of the compactification [Nay09, Proposition 5.8]. If χ^f is an isomorphism of functors, then the morphism f is called essentially perfect.

Theorem 5.9 of [Nay09] Nayak gives various characterizations of essentially perfect morphisms. For example, smooth morphisms between smooth schemes are essentially perfect.

Proposition 3.24. *Let f be an essentially perfect map. Under the assumptions and with the notation of Theorem 3.2, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}(f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\chi^f_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}} & Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f} \\ Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_f \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \mathcal{F}^\bullet \end{array}$$

for every $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^+_{qc}(Y)$.

Proof. We have to verify that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}(f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X} Lf^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\chi^f_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}} & Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ R\overline{f}_*Rj_*R\Gamma_{Z'}(j^*\overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} j^*L\overline{f}^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & & R\overline{f}_*Rj_*R\Gamma_{Z'}j^*\overline{f}^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \\ R\overline{f}_*(Rj_*R\Gamma_{Z'}j^*\overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} L\overline{f}^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \\ R\overline{f}_*(R\Gamma_{Z'}\overline{f}^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} L\overline{f}^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\chi^{\overline{f}}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}} & R\overline{f}_*R\Gamma_{Z'}\overline{f}^!\mathcal{F}^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_{\overline{f}}} \\ Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{O}_Y \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}^\bullet & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f \otimes \mathrm{id}} & & \\ \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{F}^\bullet \end{array}$$

commutes. The commutativity of the upper rectangle can easily be checked by hand. The bottom rectangle commutes by construction of $\chi^{\overline{f}}_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}$. □

4 Extension of Emerton-Kisin's adjunction to morphisms with proper support

For a proper map $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of smooth k-schemes Emerton and Kisin proved that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}^{\bullet},\mathcal{N}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet}, f^!\mathcal{N}^{\bullet})$$

for $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet} \in D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\bullet} \in D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ ([EK04, Theorem 4.4.1]) by constructing a trace map acting as the counit of adjunction. We generalize this trace map to separated and finite type morphisms $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ between smooth k-schemes sitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

where i and i' are closed immersions and f' is proper. This generalized trace map induces an adjunction between f_+ and $R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!$ considered as functors between the derived categories $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_{Z'}$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_Z$ of complexes whose cohomology sheaves are supported on Z' or Z.

4.1 A trace map for morphisms with proper support

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a separated and finite type morphism of smooth k-schemes and let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ be closed immersions with a proper morphism $f\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that $f \circ i' = i \circ f'$.*

(a) There is a natural morphism

$$\mathrm{tr}_{F,f}\colon f_+R\Gamma_{Z'}\mathcal{O}_{F,X}[d_{X/Y}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$$

of $(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y},\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodules which, as a morphism of left $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules, is the trace

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} Rf_*R\Gamma_{Z'}\omega_{X/Y}[d_{X/Y}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$$

of Definition 3.16.

(b) For every $\mathcal{M}^{\bullet} \in D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ the trace map $\mathrm{tr}_{F,f}$ induces a morphism

$$\mathrm{tr}_{F,f}(\mathcal{M}^{\bullet})\colon f_+R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{M}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\bullet}$$

in $D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$.

Proof. (a) This is an analogue of [EK04, Proposition 4.4.9 (i)]. A careful reading of the proof shows that we can adopt its proof. Consider the relative Cartier diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{F_{X/Y}} & X' & \xrightarrow{F'_Y} & X \\ & \searrow^{f} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow[F_Y]{} & Y \end{array}$$

where X', f' and F'_Y are chosen such that the right square is cartesian and $F_{X/Y}$ is the morphism obtained from the universal property of X' with respect to the morphisms f and F_X. Since X and Y are assumed to be smooth k-schemes we still have flatness of the Frobenius F_Y and the base-change F'_Y. Note that $F_{X/Y}$ is finite ([EK04, A.2]). First Emerton and Kisin point out how the relativ Cartier operator

$$C_{X/Y} \colon F_{X/Y*}\omega_{X/Y} \longrightarrow F'^{*}_X \omega_{X/Y}$$

is realized for the residual complex $f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$. Here with $E^{\bullet}$ denotes the Cousin complex $E^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_X)$. For our result we replace $f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$ by the subcomplex $\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$ of flasque sheaves which computes $R\Gamma_{Z'}\omega_{X/Y}$. We obtain the *relative Cartier operator with support on* Z':

$$C^{Z}_{X/Y} \colon F_{X/Y*}\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet} \longrightarrow F'^{*}_X \Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}.$$

By Proposition-Definition 1.10.1 of [EK04] $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$ is equipped with a $(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,X})$-bimodule structure or, after restricting scalars via the natural map $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y[F] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X[F]$, with a $(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule structure. Finally this endows $f_*(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet})$ with the structure of a $(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule, the one from the definition of $f_+R\Gamma_{Z'}\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$.

But there is another way to look at this bimodule: The map $C^{Z}_{X/Y}$ gives rise to a morphism

$$\sigma \colon f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet} \longrightarrow F_Y^{*}f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$$

by the composition

$$f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} f'_*F_{X/Y\,*}\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{C^{Z}_{X/Y}} f'_*F'^{*}_X\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_Y^{*}f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$$

where the first isomorphism is deduced from $f = f' \circ F_{X/Y}$ and the last isomorphism is flat base-change. Now Proposition-Definition 1.10.1 of ibid. in the special case of the morphism id_Y yields a $(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$. The isomorphism

$$f_*(f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$$

stemming from the projection formula is compatible with the constructed bimodule structure for both complexes by Lemma 1.10.6 of ibid. Hence it suffices to show that $\mathrm{tr}_{F,f}$ induces a morphism between the $(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} f_*\Gamma_{Z'}f^{\Delta}E^{\bullet}$ and $E^{\bullet}$

equipped with the structure of a $(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}, \mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$-bimodule via the canonical isomorphism $E^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} F_Y^* E^\bullet$. Lemma 1.10.2 of ibid. applied to the identity morphism on Y reduces to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*\Gamma_{Z'} f^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{F,f}} & E^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ F_Y^* f_*\Gamma_{Z'} f^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{F_Y^* \mathrm{tr}_{F,f}} & F_Y^* E^\bullet \end{array}$$

of complexes where the right vertical isomorphism is the canonical one. For this we have to see that the following bigger diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_*\Gamma_{Z'} f^\Delta E^\bullet & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\mathrm{tr}_f\qquad\qquad} & & E^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & & & \| \\ f'_* F_{X/Y*}\Gamma_{Z'} f^\Delta E^\bullet & & & & \| \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & & & \| \\ f'_* F_{X/Y*}\Gamma_{Z'} F^\Delta_{X/Y} f'^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{F_{X/Y}}} & f'_*\Gamma_{Z'} f'^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{f'}} & E^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ f'_* F_{X/Y*}\Gamma_{Z'} F^\Delta_{X/Y} f'^\Delta F_Y^* E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{F_{X/Y}}} & f'_*\Gamma_{Z'} f'^\Delta F_Y^* E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{f'}} & F_Y^* E^\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle b_{F_Y,f}}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & {\scriptstyle b_{F_Y,f}}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \| \\ f'_* F_{X/Y*}\Gamma_{Z'} F^\Delta_{X/Y} F_Y'^* f^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_{F_{X/Y}}} & f'_*\Gamma_{Z'} F_Y'^* f^\Delta E^\bullet & & \| \\ & & {\scriptstyle a_{F_Y,f}}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \| \\ & & F_Y^* f_*\Gamma_{Z'} f^\Delta E^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_f} & F_Y^* E^\bullet. \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the top rectangle follows from Proposition 3.22). The two squares below it and the lower left square commute by functoriality of the trace maps $\mathrm{tr}_{F_{X/Y}}$ and $\mathrm{tr}_{f'}$. The commutativity of the bottom right rectangle is the compatibility of the trace with base change by the residually stable map F_Y (Proposition 3.21).

(b) Once we know that tr_f is a morphism in $D^b_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$, we can define $\mathrm{tr}_f(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)$ as the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned} f_+ R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \mathcal{M}^\bullet &\xrightarrow{\sim} f_+(\mathcal{O}_{F,X} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}} R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \\ &\longrightarrow f_+(R\Gamma_{Z'}\mathcal{O}_{F,X} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}} f^! \mathcal{M}^\bullet) \\ &\longrightarrow f_+ R\Gamma_{Z'}\mathcal{O}_{F,X} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}} \mathcal{M}^\bullet \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}_f \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}} \mathcal{M}^\bullet \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}^\bullet. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second morphism is the one of Lemma 3.9 and the third morphism is the one of [EK04, Lemma 4.4.7]. □

Lemma 4.2. *We keep the notation of the preceding proposition. For an open immersion $j\colon U \longrightarrow Y$ let f' and j' denote the projections of $U' = U \times_Y X$. Assume that Z and Z' are the closures of the locally closed subsets $Z_U = Z \cap U$ and $Z'_{U'} = Z' \cap U'$ in Y and in X.*

(a) There is a functorial isomorphism $e_{j,f}\colon f_+ R\Gamma_Z f^! j_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+ f'_+ R\Gamma_{Z'} f'^!$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_+ R\Gamma_Z f^! j_+ & \xrightarrow{e_{j,f}} & j_+ f'_+ R\Gamma_{Z'} f'^! \\ & \searrow^{\mathrm{tr}_f\, j_+} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_+\,\mathrm{tr}_{f'}} \\ & & j_+ \end{array}$$

commutes.

(b) Let ctr_f denote the unit $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow R\Gamma_Z f^! f_+$ of the adjunction. Then there is a functorial isomorphism $e'_{j,f}\colon R\Gamma_Z f^! f_+ j'_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} j'_+ R\Gamma_{Z'} f'^! f'_+$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma_Z f^! f_+ j'_+ & \xrightarrow{e_{j,f}} & j'_+ R\Gamma_{Z'} f'^! f'_+ \\ & \searrow^{\mathrm{ctr}_f\, j'_+} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j'_+\,\mathrm{ctr}_{f'}} \\ & & j'_+ \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let Z_U and $Z'_{U'}$ denote the closed subsets $U \cap Z$ and $U' \cap Z'$ of U and U'. From Proposition 3.10 we know that the functors j_+ and j'_+ are equivalences

$$D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,U})_{Z_U} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})^{Z\setminus U}_Z \text{ and } D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,U'})_{Z'_{U'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})^{Z'\setminus U'}_{Z'}.$$

Furthermore there are natural isomorphisms

$$f_+ j'_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} j_+ f'_+ \text{ and } R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! j_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} j'_+ R\Gamma_{Z'_{U'}} f'^!,$$

where the second one is obtained from the natural isomorphisms

$$j'^! R\Gamma_{Z'} f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{Z'_{U'}} j'^! f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_{Z'_{U'}} f'^! j^!.$$

Therefore f_+ and $R\Gamma_{Z'} f^!$ restrict to functors between $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})^{Z\setminus U}_Z$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})^{Z'\setminus U'}_{Z'}$, which are compatible with f'_+ and $R\Gamma_{Z'_{U'}} f'^!$ with respect to the equivalences j_+ and j'_+. Now the claims of the lemma are formal consequences. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a separated and finite type morphism of smooth schemes and let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ and $i'\colon Z' \longrightarrow X$ be closed immersions with a morphism $f'\colon Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{i'} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. Then for any $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_{Z'}$ and any $\mathcal{N}^\bullet \in D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_Z$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet, \mathcal{N}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet).$$

In particular, $f_+\colon D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_{Z'} \longrightarrow D^b_{qc}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_Z$ is left adjoint to $R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!$.

Proof. The morphism f factors through the graph morphism $X \times_k Y$, which is a closed immersion, followed by the projection $X \times_k Y \longrightarrow Y$, which is smooth. Therefore we may assume that f is an essentially perfect morphism. We show that the natural transformation τ given by the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet, f_+R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_{F,f}} \\ & & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet, \mathcal{N}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism in $D^+(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. For this we can can reduce to the case

$$\mathcal{M}^\bullet = \mathcal{M}^0 = \mathcal{O}_{F,X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M$$

where M is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module by a spectral sequence argument, cf. [EK04, Proof of 4.4.1]. Then we have

$$f_+\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{F,Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M).$$

Let $'f^{!\prime}$ denote Emerton-Kisin's pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules. Note that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$'f^{!\prime}\mathcal{N}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} Lf^*\mathcal{N}^\bullet[d_{X/Y}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega^{-1}_{X/Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet$$

in $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$. The natural isomorphisms

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, R\Gamma_Z f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}, R\Gamma_Z f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet)$$

and

$$\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M, \mathcal{N}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}^\bullet)$$

are the horizontal arrows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X}}(\mathcal{M}, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle t} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau} \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M), \mathcal{N}^\bullet)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}}(f_+\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}^\bullet). \end{array}$$

where t is the composition

$$\begin{array}{c} Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(M, R\Gamma_{Z'}f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} \\ Rf_*\underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M, \omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R\Gamma_{Z'}{}'f^{!\prime}\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M), Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R\Gamma_{Z'}{}'f^{!\prime}\mathcal{N}^\bullet)) \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M), Rf_*(R\Gamma_{Z'}\omega_{X/Y}\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_X}{}'f^{!\prime}\mathcal{N}^\bullet)) \\ \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M), Rf_*(R\Gamma_{Z'}\omega_{X/Y})\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{N}^\bullet)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^\bullet_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_*(\omega_{X/Y}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M), \mathcal{N}^\bullet)). \end{array}$$

of natural maps and the trace. This composition is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.24 and Theorem 3.2. Hence τ is an isomorphism. □

5 Locally finitely generated unit modules for singular schemes

For a smooth k-scheme X, the Frobenius $F_X\colon X \longrightarrow X$ is a flat morphism and hence F_X^* is exact ([Kun69, Theorem 2.1]). The exactness of F_X^* plays an important role in the definition of (locally finitely generated) unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules, for example it implies that the category of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules is abelian. In this section we define the abelian category $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ for schemes X which admit a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth k-scheme as a subcategory of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-schemes. Note that this definition also works for unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules. We restrict to locally finitely generated modules due to our application to Cartier crystals and perverse constructible étale p-torsion sheaves.

5.1 The Kashiwara equivalence for locally finitely generated unit modules

For the motivation of our approach to $\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(X)$ for embeddable X recall the Kashiwara equivalence:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of smooth k-schemes. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-module supported on Z, the adjunction $i_+i^!\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, $H^0(i^!\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^!\mathcal{M}$ and the functors i_+ and $i^!$ are equivalences between the categories of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,Z}$-modules and unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules supported on Z.*

Proof. This is Theorem 5.10.1 of [EK04]. □

Hence we can canonically interpret unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,Z}$-modules as a certain subcategory of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules, namely the subcategory of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules with support on (the image of) Z. If Z is not smooth this subcategory still exists because it may be characterized as the subcategory of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ with $j^!\mathcal{M} \cong 0$ where j is the immersion of the open complement of Z in X. This motivates the definition of unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,Z}$-modules for Z possibly not smooth but embeddable into a smooth scheme:

Definition 5.2. Let X be a k-scheme such that there exists a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth, separated k-scheme Y. The category of lfgu $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules is defined as the subcategory of lfgu $\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}$-modules $\mathcal{M}$ supported on the image of X, i.e. $j^!\mathcal{M} \cong 0$ where $j\colon Y\backslash X \longrightarrow X$ is the open immersion of the complement of X.

By Theorem 5.1 it is clear that this definition generalizes the already existing notion of lfgu $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules for smooth X. Of course the crucial point is to see that the definition for not-necessarily smooth X is – up to natural equivalence – independent of a chosen embedding into a smooth scheme.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism between smooth schemes and let $i_X\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ and $i_Y\colon Z \longrightarrow Y$ be closed immersions of k-schemes with Z reduced and $i_Y = f \circ i_X$. Then there are natural isomorphisms of functors*

(a) $f_+ \circ R\Gamma_Z f^! \cong \mathrm{id}_{D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_Z}$,

(b) $R\Gamma_Z f^! \circ f_+ \cong \mathrm{id}_{D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_Z}$.

Proof. The proof proceeds by an excision argument. In the case of a smooth scheme Z we can use the isomorphism of functors $R\Gamma_Z \cong i_{X+}i_X^!$ from $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ to $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})_Z$ and $R\Gamma_Z \cong i_{Y+}i_Y^!$ from $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ to $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_Z$:

$$f_+R\Gamma_Z f^! \cong f_+i_{X+}i_X^!f^! \cong i_{Y+}i_Y^! \cong R\Gamma_Z \cong \mathrm{id}\,.$$

Since a finite set of closed points with the reduced scheme structure is always smooth, this verifies the claim if Z is 0-dimensional. For the general case, i.e. Z is not necessarily smooth, let V be a dense open subset of Z which is smooth and assume that the claim holds for all closed subschemes Z' with $\dim Z' < \dim Z$. Let g denote the immersion

$U \hookrightarrow Y$. After choosing an open subset $U \subseteq Y$ with $U \cap Z = V$ we can factor g as $g = v \circ i'_Y$ where v is the open immersion of U into Y and i'_Y is the closed immersion of V into U, i.e. the base change of i_Y. By [EK04, Proposition 5.12.1] for an object $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ there is a natural morphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{M}^\bullet \longrightarrow g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ whose cone $\mathcal{N}^\bullet$ is supported on $Z\backslash U$. This means that there is a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{N}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi} g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet$$

in $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$. Applying $f_+R\Gamma_Z f^!$, the trace yields a morphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_+R\Gamma_Z f^!\mathcal{N}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & f_+R\Gamma_Z f^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & f_+R\Gamma_Z f^!g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f(\mathcal{N}^\bullet)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{tr}_f(g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet)} \\ \mathcal{N}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^\bullet & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet. \end{array}$$

The first trace map $\mathrm{tr}_f(\mathcal{N}^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism by induction hypothesis because the dimension of the support $Z\backslash U$ of $\mathcal{N}^\bullet$ is less than that of Z as U is dense in Z. It remains to show that $\mathrm{tr}_f(g_+g^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{tr}_f(u_+i'_+i''u^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism. By the Kashiwara equivalence the object $\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U := i'_+i''u^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,U})$ is supported on V. By Lemma 4.2 $\mathrm{tr}_f(u_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U)$ equals the composition

$$f_+R\Gamma_Z f^!u_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U \xrightarrow{\sim} u_+f'_+R\Gamma_V f''^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U \xrightarrow{u_+\,\mathrm{tr}_{f'}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U)} u_+\mathcal{M}^\bullet_U$$

where the second map is an isomorphism as V is smooth. Consequently the map $\mathrm{tr}_f(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism. This proves (a). Similarly one can prove (b) using the unit of the adjunction between f_+ and $R\Gamma_Z f^!$ (i.e. the co-trace) instead of the trace map, see Lemma 4.2. □

Definition 5.4. Let X be a separated k-scheme such that there exists a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth k-scheme Y. The category $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ is the subcategory $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X$ of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$.

The next corollary shows that the latter definition is independent of the chosen embedding.

Corollary 5.5. *If $i_1\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1$ and $i_2\colon X \longrightarrow Y_2$ are two embeddings of a separated k-scheme X into smooth k-schemes Y_1 and Y_2, then there exists a natural equivalence*

$$D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_1})_X \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_2})_X.$$

Proof. The universal property of $Y_1 \times_k Y_2$ yields a morphism $(i_1, i_2)\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1 \times_k Y_2$. It equals the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id})} X \times X \xrightarrow{(i_1,\mathrm{id})} Y_1 \times X \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id},i_2)} Y_1 \times Y_2$$

where all maps are closed immersions, the first one because X is assumed to be separated over k. Hence (i_1, i_2) is a closed immersion. We obtain a commutative diagram



where p_1 and p_2 are the projections. By Theorem 5.3 the compositions $p_{2+}R\Gamma_Z p_1^!$ and $p_{1+}R\Gamma_Z p_2^!$ are inverse equivalences between $D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_1})_X$ and $D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_2})_X$. □

6 The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for Cartier crystals

As the title suggests, one of the main results of Emerton and Kisins "The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for unit F-crystals" is a characteristic p-analogue of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for $\mathcal{D}$-modules. More precisely, for a smooth k-scheme X the authors construct inverse equivalences of categories

$$D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \underset{\text{M}}{\overset{\text{Sol}}{\rightleftarrows}} D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\,.$$

Furthermore $\text{Sol}(D^{\leq 0}_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})) \subseteq {}^pD^{\geq 0}$ and $\text{Sol}(D^{\geq 0}_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})) \subseteq {}^pD^{\leq 0}$ where ${}^pD^{\geq 0}$ and ${}^pD^{\geq 0}$ are two subcategories of $D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ defining the perverse t-structure of [Gab04]. Hence Sol establishes an equivalence between the hearts of the corresponding t-structures, namely the locally finitely generated unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules and the so-called perverse constructible p-torsion sheaves.

Using this correspondence of Emerton and Kisin we will establish a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence between Cartier crystals and perverse constructible $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaves on a scheme which admits an embedding into a smooth scheme. In the next two subsections we extend the equivalences $\text{G}\colon D^b_{\text{crys}}(\text{QCrys}(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ and $\text{Sol}\colon D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ to singular schemes embeddable into a smooth scheme. Let us anticipate the result of this section. For details of the notation see the following subsection.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a k-scheme which admits a closed embedding into a smooth scheme.*

(a) The equivalences G *and* Sol *for smooth schemes induce equivalences*

$$\text{G}\colon D^b_{\text{crys}}(\text{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \text{ and } \text{Sol}\colon D^b_{\text{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D^b_c(X_{ét}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

This means that for a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ with Y smooth there is a

commutative diagram



(b) *The essential image of* $D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))$ *under the composition* $\mathrm{Sol}_\kappa = \mathrm{Sol} \circ \mathrm{G}$ *equals the subcategory* ${}^pD^{\leq 0}$ *while the essential image of* $D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))$ *equals the subcategory* ${}^pD^{\geq 0}$.

(c) *If* $f\colon X \longrightarrow S$ *is an open or a closed immersion, then there are natural isomorphisms of functors*

$$\mathrm{Sol}_\kappa \circ f_* \xrightarrow{\sim} f_! \circ \mathrm{Sol}_\kappa \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{Sol}_\kappa \circ f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} f^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Sol}_\kappa$$

where $f^!$ *denotes the functor* f^* *if* f *is an open immersion.*

Proof. (a) and (b) follow from Proposition 6.3 and Theorem 6.9.

For a closed immersion of embeddable k-schemes $f\colon X \longrightarrow S$, we choose a closed immersion $i\colon S \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth scheme Y. The composition $i \circ f$ is an embedding for X. The functors f_* and $f^!$ between $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(S)$ correspond to the inclusion $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_S$ and $R\Gamma_X$. These functors correspond to $f_!$ and f^{-1} between $D^b_c(X_{\mathrm{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ in turn.

If $f\colon X \longrightarrow S$ is an open immersion of embeddable k-schemes we choose a closed immersion $i\colon S \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth scheme Y and an open immersion $g\colon U \longrightarrow Y$ such that $X = S \cap U$. Now the functors Rf_* and f^* for Cartier crystals and $f_!$ and f^{-1} for étale constructible sheaves correspond to the functors g_+ and $g^!$ between $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,U})_X$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_S$. □

6.1 Review of Emerton and Kisin's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence

Let $X_{\mathrm{ét}}$ denote the étale site of a k-scheme X. A $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaf on $X_{\mathrm{ét}}$ is an étale sheaf of modules over the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $D^b_c(X_{\mathrm{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ denote the derived category of complexes of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaves on $X_{\mathrm{ét}}$ whose cohomology sheaves are constructible. For $x \in X$ let $i_x\colon x \longrightarrow X$ be the inclusion which is the composition of the inclusion of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{ét}},x}$ followed by the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{ét}},x} \longrightarrow X$. In "Notes on some t-structures" ([Gab04]) Gabber showed that the two subcategories

$$\begin{aligned} {}^pD^{\leq 0} &= \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b_c(X_{\mathrm{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \mid H^i(i_x^{-1}\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0 \text{ for } i > -\dim \overline{\{x\}}\}, \\ {}^pD^{\geq 0} &= \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b_c(X_{\mathrm{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \mid H^i(i_x^{!}\mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0 \text{ for } i < -\dim \overline{\{x\}}\} \end{aligned}$$

define a t-structure on $D^b_c(X_{\mathrm{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

In this subsection let X be a smooth k-scheme. The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence between $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ and $D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is realized in two steps, namely first passing to the étale site and then applying a certain duality functor.

Theorem 6.2. *(a) For every smooth k-scheme X, the functor*

$$\mathrm{Sol} = \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_{F,X_{ét}}}(___{ét}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{ét}})[d_X] \colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D^b_c(X_{ét}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is an equivalence of categories. A quasi-inverse is given by

$$\mathrm{M} = \underline{\mathrm{RHom}}^{\bullet}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(__, \mathcal{O}_{X_{ét}})[d_X].$$

(b) For a morphism $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\mathrm{Sol} \circ f^! \cong f^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Sol}\,.$$

For an allowable morphism $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$, i.e. f factors as $g \circ h$ where h is an immersion and g is a proper smooth morphism, there is also a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\mathrm{Sol} \circ f_+ \cong f_! \circ \mathrm{Sol}\,.$$

(c) The essential image of the full subcategory $D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ is equal to the full subcategory ${}^pD^{\leq 0}$ of $D^b_c(X_{ét}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ while the essential image of $D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ is equal to the full subcategory ${}^pD^{\geq 0}$ of $D^b_c(X_{ét}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. This is [EK04, Theorem 11.4.2 and Theorem 11.5.4]. □

6.2 The equivalence of Cartier crystals and locally finitely generated unit modules on singular schemes

We show that the equivalence

$$\mathrm{G} \colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$$

for smooth X extends to an equivalence for X embeddable into a smooth scheme. Consequently, for a smooth morphism f between smooth schemes the inverse equivalences f_+ and $R\Gamma_Z f^!$ between the subcategories of complexes supported on a closed subscheme are t-exact.

Proposition 6.3. *Let X be a k-scheme which admits an embedding into a smooth scheme. The functor* G *induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{G} \colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X)) \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}).$$

Proof. Choose a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth k-scheme Y and let j denote the open immersion of the complement of X in Y. The Kashiwara equivalence (Theorem 1.10) identifies $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(X))$ with the subcategory $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))_X$ of $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))$. For $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))_X$ we have

$$(j^! \circ \mathrm{G}_Y)\mathcal{M}^\bullet \cong (\mathrm{G}_U \circ j^*)\mathcal{M}^\bullet \cong 0$$

by Proposition 2.28. As G is an equivalence of categories, there is also a natural isomorphism of functors $j^* \circ \mathrm{G}_Y^{-1} \cong \mathrm{G}_U^{-1} \circ j^!$ for the inverse G^{-1} of G. It follows that G induces an equivalence of subcategories

$$\mathrm{G}\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y))_X \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X.$$

It remains to show that this equivalence is independent of the choice of the embedding. In the same way as in the proof of Corollary 5.5 we can reduce to the case of two closed immersions $i_1\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1$ and $i_2\colon X \longrightarrow Y_2$ into smooth k-schemes Y_1 and Y_2 together with a smooth morphism $f\colon Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$ such that $i_2 = f \circ i_1$. The composition $i_{2*} \circ i_1^!$ is a natural equivalence between $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y_1))_X$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y_2))_X$. Note that

$$i_{2*}i_1^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet \cong Rf_*i_{1*}i_1^!\mathcal{M}^\bullet \cong Rf_*\mathcal{M}^\bullet$$

for $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y_1))_X$. Hence Rf_* is a natural equivalence of categories

$$Rf_*\colon D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y_1))_X \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{crys}}(\mathrm{QCrys}_\kappa(Y_2))_X$$

which is compatible with G, i.e.

$$f_+ \circ \mathrm{G}_{Y_1} \cong \mathrm{G}_{Y_2} \circ Rf_*$$

by [BB, Theorem 6.3.3 and Theorem 7.3.3]. □

Remark 6.4. This also implies that f_+ provides a natural equivalence

$$f_+\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_1})_X \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_2})_X$$

because $f_+ \cong \mathrm{G}_{Y_2} \circ Rf_* \circ \mathrm{G}_{Y_1}^{-1}$.

Keeping the notation of the proof of Proposition 6.3, the canonical t-structure of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ obviously induces a t-structure on the subcategory $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X$ defined by the two subcategories

$$D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \cap D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}) \text{ and } D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \cap D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}).$$

Corollary 6.5. *Let $f\colon Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$ be a smooth morphism between smooth k-schemes and let $i_1\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1$ and $i_2\colon X \longrightarrow Y_2$ be closed immersions such that $i_2 = f \circ i_1$. The equivalence f_+ of Corollary 5.5 between $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_1})_X$ and $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_2})_X$ is t-exact for the canonical t-structures of both derived categories. In particular, by taking 0-th cohomology, it gives rise to an equivalence of abelian categories*

$$\{\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(Y_1)_X\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\mu_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(Y_2)_X\}.$$

Proof. The functor f_+ is a composition of t-exact functors:

$$f_+ \cong \mathrm{G}_{Y_2} \circ Rf_* \circ \mathrm{G}_{Y_1}^{-1} \cong \mathrm{G}_{Y_2} \circ i_{2*} \circ i_1^! \circ \mathrm{G}_{Y_1}^{-1}.$$

□

6.3 A Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for locally finitely generated unit modules on singular schemes

Now we extend the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence between lfgu modules and étale constructible $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-sheaves to embeddable schemes. The corresponding equivalence of categories

$$D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

for embeddable X will be t-exact for the canonical t-structure on $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ and Gabber's perverse t-structure on $D^b_c(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Again for a closed subscheme Z of X let $j\colon U \longrightarrow X$ denote the open immersion of the complement of Z into X.

Definition 6.6. For a k-scheme X which admits an embedding into a smooth scheme Y we define

$$D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) = D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \cap D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}),$$
$$D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) = D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \cap D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y}).$$

These subcategories of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ form a natural t-structure.

The independence of these subcategories of the embedding into a smooth scheme follows from Corollary 6.5.

Recall that there is a distinguished triangle

$$i_! i^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow j_! j^{-1}$$

in $D^+(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Defining $R\Gamma_Z$ as the composition $i_! i^{-1}$ of exact functors we obtain a fundamental triangle of local cohomology

$$j_! j^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \Gamma_Z.$$

Lemma 6.7. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of a smooth k-scheme X. Then there is a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$\mathrm{Sol} \circ R\Gamma_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_X \circ \mathrm{Sol}\,.$$

Proof. We show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{M} \circ \Gamma_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma_Z \circ \mathrm{M}$$

where M is the inverse of Sol. The natural isomorphism $j^! \circ \mathrm{M}_X \cong \mathrm{M}_U \circ j^{-1}$ implies that $\mathrm{M}(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is supported on Z for every complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. Consequently, the morphism $\mathrm{M}(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ induced by the natural map $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \longrightarrow \Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ factors through $R\Gamma_Z \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. This gives rise to a morphism of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{M}(\Gamma_Z \mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & M(j_! j^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\
\downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow \sim \\
R\Gamma_Z \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & j_+ j^! \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the natural morphisms and the second and third vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Hence the vertical arrow on the left is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 6.8. *Let $i\colon Z \longrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of schemes.*

(a) The exact functors $i_!$ and i^{-1} are inverse equivalences of categories

$$D_c^b(Z_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \underset{i^{-1}}{\overset{i_!}{\rightleftarrows}} D_c^b(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_Z.$$

(b) The functors $i_!\colon D_c^b(Z_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow D_c^b(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $i^{-1}\colon D_c^b(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow D_c^b(Z_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ are also t-exact with respect to the perverse t-structures of both categories.

Proof. (a) This is a formal consequence of the distinguished triangle

$$i_! i^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{id} \longrightarrow j_! j^{-1}$$

in $D^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and the fact that the natural map $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow i^{-1} i_!$ is always an isomorphism.

(b) As $i^{-1} \cong i^{-1} i_! i^{-1}$ it suffices to consider $i_!$ and i^{-1} as functors between $D_c^b(Z_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $D_c^b(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_Z$. Therefore it suffices to show that $i_!$ is t-exact with respect to the perverse t-structures, i.e. the essential image of ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(Z_{\text{ét}})$ under $i_!$ is contained in ${}^pD^{\leq 0}$ and the essential image of ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(Z_{\text{ét}})$ under $i_!$ is contained in ${}^pD^{\leq 0}$. For $x \in Z$ let $\tilde{i}_x$ denote the composition

$$\{x\} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\text{ét}}, x} \longrightarrow Z$$

of canonical morphisms. For $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ in ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(Z_{\text{ét}})$, i.e. $H^n(\tilde{i}_x^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$ for every $x \in Z$ and every $n > -\dim \overline{\{x\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(i_x^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) &\cong H^n(\tilde{i}_x^{-1} i^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\cong H^n(\tilde{i}_x^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\cong 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in Z$ and every $n > -\dim \overline{\{x\}}$. For $x \in U = X \backslash Z$ even $i_x^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong 0$ because $j^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong 0$ and hence $\tau^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong 0$ where $\tau\colon \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}, x} \longrightarrow X$ is the natural morphism.

Now let $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ be in ${}^pD^{\geq 0}(Z_{\text{ét}})$, i.e. $H^n(\tilde{i}_x^! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$ for every $x \in Z$ and every $n < -\dim \overline{\{x\}}$. There is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$i^! i_! \cong i^{-1} i_!$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(i_x^! i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) &\cong H^n(\tilde{i}_x^! i^! i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\cong H^n(\tilde{i}_x^! i^{-1} i_! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\cong H^n(\tilde{i}_x^! \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\cong 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in Z$ and every $n < -\dim \overline{\{x\}}$. We already have seen that there is nothing to show for $x \in U$. □

Theorem 6.9. *Let X be a scheme which admits a closed immersion into a smooth scheme.*

(a) The equivalence Sol *for smooth schemes induces an anti-equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Sol}\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X}) \longrightarrow D^b_c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

(b) The essential image of $D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ under this equivalence equals ${}^pD^{\leq 0}$ and the essential image of $D^{\leq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,X})$ equals ${}^pD^{\geq 0}$.

Proof. After choosing a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ into a smooth k-scheme Y we see that Sol restricts to an anti-equivalence

$$\mathrm{Sol}\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \longrightarrow D^b_c(Y_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X$$

in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 6.3. For the proof of the independence of the choice of an embedding we again reduce to the situation of two closed immersions $i_1\colon X \longrightarrow Y_1$ and $i_2\colon X \longrightarrow Y_2$ together with a morphism $f\colon Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$ such that $i_2 = f \circ i_1$. We obtain natural equivalences of categories

$$R\Gamma_X f^!\colon D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_2})_X \longrightarrow D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y_1})_X$$

and

$$f^{-1}\Gamma_X\colon D^b_c(Y_{2,\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X \longrightarrow D^b_c(Y_{1,\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X$$

which are compatible with Sol because

$$\mathrm{Sol} \circ R\Gamma_X f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_X \circ \mathrm{Sol} \circ f^! \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_X f^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Sol}$$

by Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 6.7. This proves (a).

For a closed immersion $i\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ of X into a smooth k-scheme Y the essential image of $D^b_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})_X \cap D^{\geq 0}_{\mathrm{lfgu}}(\mathcal{O}_{F,Y})$ under Sol equals $D^b_c(Y_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X \cap {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y_{\acute{e}t})$ ((a) and Theorem 6.2). Finally, Proposition 6.8 implies that i^{-1} induces an isomorphism

$$D^b_c(Y_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_X \cap {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y_{\acute{e}t}) \xrightarrow{i^{-1}} D^b_c(X_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

□

References

[BB] M. Blickle and G. Böckle, *Cartier crystals and unit $\mathcal{O}_{F,X}$-modules*, uncirculated preprint.

[BB11] M. Blickle and G. Böckle, *Cartier modules: Finiteness results*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **661** (2011), 85–123.

[BB13] M. Blickle and G. Böckle, *Cartier crystals*, arXiv:1309.1035 (2013).

[BS13] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 136, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, An algebraic introduction with geometric applications.

[Con00] B. Conrad, *Grothendieck duality and base change*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1750, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[EK04] M. Emerton and M. Kisin, *Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for unit F-crystals*, Astérisque **293** (2004), vi+257 pp.

[Gab62] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.

[Gab04] O. Gabber, *Notes on some t-structures*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, pp. 711–734.

[Har66] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[Har77] ———, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Kun69] E. Kunz, *Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic p*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 772–784.

[Lip09] J. Lipman, *Notes on derived functors and Grothendieck duality*, Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1960, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 1–259.

[Nay09] S. Nayak, *Compactification for essentially finite-type maps*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), no. 2, 527–546.

[Ohk16] S. Ohkawa, *Riemann-hilbert correspondence for unit F-crystals on embeddable algebraic varieties*, arXiv:1601.01525 (2016).